#### Humans-in-the-Loop Target Classification

Mid-Year Review, Department of Aerospace Engineering, University of Michigan, April 3, 2008.

#### Presenter **Tom Temple** Joint work with **Ketan Savla and Emilio Frazzoli**



Laboratory for Information and Decision Systems, Massachusetts Institute of Technology

# Motivation



Humans-in-the-Loop Target Classification

# **Problem Formulation**

- The surveillance of a region Q is entrusted to n human operators and m UAVs.
- Targets arrive randomly in Q at a rate λ with uniform distribution over Q.
- The UAVs are routed to visit targets and record video.



 A target is classified when a human operator has seen enough video of the target to decide whether it is "lethal" or "benign."

# Objective

#### Goals

(i) Design joint motion coordination and operator task allocation policies

(ii) Characterize the quality of service as a function of n and m.

#### Quality of Service

The average time between the arrival of a target and its classification.

#### Approach

Theoretical lower bounds, efficient policy design.

#### Assumptions

• The humans watch video at a real time rate.

• Operators can stop and resume where they left off.

• Another operators can also resume where a *different* operator left off.

• The an operator's belief states about a target is not interpretable by the decision support system.

#### The Blame Game

- Imagine that a customer is waiting for service at a particular instant blames either the UAVs or the humans for having to wait.
- Specifically, if all the human operators are busy at that instant they blame the humans and otherwise they blame the UAVs.
- Define  $W_v, W_h$  as the expected integrals of blame for the UAVs and humans, respectively.

$$W_q = W_v + W_h$$

# The Light Load case

• 
$$\rho_h \rightarrow 0$$

• 
$$W_h \rightarrow 0$$

 $W_v$  is simply the travel time

$$W_q \propto rac{1}{\sqrt{m}}$$



## Heavy Load: Many UAVs

• 
$$\rho_h \rightarrow 1$$

• 
$$m \to \infty$$

The resulting system is a  $M/G/n\ queue$ 

$$W_q = W_h = \frac{\lambda(\lambda^{-2} + \sigma_s^2/n^2)}{2(1 - \rho_h)}$$



#### Heavy Load: Few UAVs

• 
$$\rho_h \rightarrow 1$$

• 
$$m = n$$

Reduces to the multi-agent Dynamic Traveling Repair-person Problem (mDTRP)

$$W_q \propto rac{\lambda}{n^2(1-
ho_h)^2}$$



# Heavy Load: general number of UAVs

- $\rho_h \rightarrow 1$
- Let k = m n, and hold n fixed.
- For the UAVs to be blamed, all k free UAVs must to be en-route to targets when an operator becomes free.



$$W_v = rac{\lambda}{a_o n^2 (1-
ho_h)^2 + a_1 k n (1-
ho_h) + a_2 k^2}$$
 Bounded

#### Simulations: Heuristics

- A UAV receives a reward whenever a classification is made using video that they have collected.
- UAVs always maximize their expected reward rate for their current and immediate next target.
- When a new target appears, the UAVs bid on it.
- A UAV is allowed to leave a target whenever doing so gives a higher rate of reward. This allows for the use of offline information collection.

Validation

# Simulation



April 3, 2008 12 / 24

-2

・ロン ・聞と ・ヨン ・ヨン

Validation

# Simulation



April 3, 2008 13 / 24

-2

・ロン ・聞と ・ヨン ・ヨン

#### Validation

# **Empirical Results**



Tom Temple (LIDS MIT)

Humans-in-the-Loop Target Classification

April 3, 2008 14 / 24

## Summary

"Human-in-the-loop vehicle routing policies for dynamic environments," *IEEE CDC*, Dec 2008.

- Provable optimality for simple algorithms
- Provide an analytical foundation for general algorithms
- Addressed how "situational awareness" affects system performance



What if the human operators' decision time is affected by their load factor?

Tom Temple (LIDS MIT)

## Situational Awareness

For the moment, consider a single operator,

- We include "Situational Awareness" by letting  $s = s_0 \chi(\rho)$
- We can arbitrarily scale  $s_0$  such such that the minimum of  $\chi$  is 1, without loss of generality.
- We assume  $\chi$  is convex, bounded and differentiable on [0,1]
- Since ρ is itself a function of s, the steady state values are the solution to the coupled equations,

$$ar{s}=ar{s_0}\chi(
ho) 
onumber 
ho=rac{1}{\lambdaar{s}}$$

Tom Temple (LIDS MIT)

- 31

## **Multiple Operators**

• With multiple operators we are free to choose a solution.

• The optimum can be expressed as a straightforward convex optimization problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{\rho_1,\dots,\rho_n\}} & \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i \chi(\rho_i) \bar{s_0} \\ \text{s.t.} & \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\rho_i}{\bar{s_0}\chi(\rho_i)} = \lambda \\ & 0 \le \rho_i \le 1, i = 1,\dots,n. \end{array}$$

{

## Situational Awareness under Heavy Load

The results presented for the heavy load case are based on queuing arguments that require system stability and do not necessarily carry over.

• For fixed  $\bar{s}$ , stability is equivalent to  $\rho_h < 1$ .

• With variable  $\bar{s}$ , this is not necessarily sufficient

# Two Cases for Real-World $\chi$

If  $\frac{d\chi(1)}{d\rho} \leq \chi(1)$ 

- $\rho_h < 1$  is sufficient for stability
- previous heavy load results hold

If 
$$\frac{d\chi(1)}{d\rho} > \chi(1)$$

- $\rho_h = 1$  is not optimally productive
- there exists some  $\rho_{\max} < 1$  such that  $\rho_h \leq \rho_{\max}$  is necessary for stability



#### Perceived load, $\tilde{\rho}$

• Defining Situational Awareness in terms of average load, assumes that the operators know the average load a priori.

• A more realistic model would define Situational Awareness in terms of *perceived* load,  $\tilde{\rho_i}$  which is estimated by operator *i*.

• The "error" in this estimation is another potential source of instability.

# **Estimation Stability**





April 3, 2008 21 / 24

# **Decision Support Implications**

- We need to carefully manage the perceived operator loads if we are to guarantee system stability.
- If for operator  $i,\,\tilde{\rho_i}>\rho_{\rm max}$ , then we need to give him a break, even if there are outstanding targets.
- Corresponds to previous results with

$$n' \leftarrow n \rho_{\max}$$
 and,  
 $\rho'_h \leftarrow \rho / \rho_{\max}.$ 

#### Summary

"Efficient routing of multiple vehicles for human-supervised services in a dynamic environment," *AIAA GNC*, Aug 2008.

• Addressed how "situational awareness" affects system performance

• Identified queue stability conditions in heavy load

• Determined how and when previous results can be used.

- 4 当下 4 当下

#### Future Work

• Vanishing targets (customer impatience).

• Vehicles with finite capacity e.g. fuel, range, memory.

• Alternate support system architectures allowing more involvement by human operators in the mission tasks.

イモトイモト