Dark Photon: Stellar Constraints and Direct Detection

0

Haipeng An Perimeter Institute

In collaboration with Maxim Pospelov and Josef Pradler 1302.3844, 1304.3461



Motivations

- Related to the dark sector
 - Dark portal
 - Dark matter itself (or part of dark matter)
 - Sommerfeld enhancement
- Solution to muon g-2 problem
- Sub-keV dark photons can be produced inside the Sun and can be detected by detectors at the Earth
- Mimic the signal of light dark matter



Outline

- What is dark photon?
 - Lagrangian
 - Origin of mass
 - Stueckelberg case and Higgsed case
- Stueckelberg case
 - Solar flux and stellar constraints
 - direct detection
- Higgsed case
 - Solar flux and stellar constraints
 - direct detection
- Summary



Outline

- What is dark photon?
 - Lagrangian
 - Origin of mass
 - Stueckelberg case and Higgsed case
- Stueckelberg case
 - Solar flux and stellar constraints
 - direct detection
- Direct detection
 - Solar flux and stellar constraints
 - direct detection
- Summary



The Lagrangian

The Standard Model Extra vector field $U(1)_D$ $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ $G^{a\mu
u}$ $W^{i\mu
u}$ $B^{\mu
u}$ $V^{\mu\nu}$ $-\frac{1}{2}\kappa' B_{\mu\nu}V^{\mu\nu}$ \downarrow $-\frac{1}{2}\kappa F_{\mu\nu}V^{\mu\nu}$ Below EW breaking,



Origins of mass

• Massive U(1) gauge theory

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{1}{2} m_V^2 \left(V_{\mu} - \frac{\partial_{\mu} a}{m_V} \right)^2 \qquad \text{Would-be}_{\text{Goldstone}}$$

- In this talk, $m_V < 1 \; {
 m keV}$.
- Should there be a dark Higgs?

No! (Naturalness)

Stueckelberg case

 $\mathcal{L}_{\rm mass} = \frac{1}{2} m_V^2 V_\mu^2$

been found.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{1}{2}m_V^2 V_{\mu}^2$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = e'm_V h' V_{\mu}^2 + \frac{1}{2}e'^2 h'^2 V_{\mu}^2$$

Yes! A Higgs at weak scale has just



Outline

- What is dark photon?
 - Lagrangian
 - Origin of mass
 - Stueckelberg case and Higgsed case
- Stueckelberg case
 - Solar flux and stellar constraints
 - direct detection
- Higgsed case
 - Solar flux and stellar constraints
 - direct detection
- Summary





- Total absorption rate;
- Solar flux;
- Branching ratio to desired signals.



• Feynman diagram:



• Matrix element:

$$\mathcal{M}_{V_{T,L}+i\to f} = -\frac{\kappa m_V^2}{m_V^2 - \Pi_{T,L}} [eJ_{\mathrm{em}}^{\mu}]_{fi} \epsilon_{\mu}^{T,L}$$

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu} = e^2 \langle J^{\mu}_{\rm em}, J^{\nu}_{\rm em} \rangle = \Pi_T \epsilon_i^{T\mu} \epsilon_i^{T\nu} + \Pi_L \epsilon^{L\mu} \epsilon^{L\nu}$$

|i>

Correlation function inside the medium



• *m_V* scaling

 $k_{\mu}J_{\rm em}^{\mu} = 0 \quad \longrightarrow \quad J_{\rm em}^{\mu}\epsilon_{\mu}^{L} \sim m_{V} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \Pi_{L} \sim m_{V}^{2}$

• Non-magnetic material

- $$\begin{split} \Pi_T &= -\omega^2 \Delta \varepsilon_r & \Delta \varepsilon_r = \varepsilon_r 1\\ \Pi_L &= -(\omega^2 |\vec{k}|^2) \Delta \varepsilon_r & \text{Relative permittivity}\\ \mathcal{M}_{V_{T,L}+i \to f} &= -\frac{\kappa m_V^2}{m_V^2 \Pi_{T,L}} [eJ_{\text{em}}^{\mu}]_{fi} \epsilon_{\mu}^{T,L} \end{split}$$
- In the small m_V limit,

 $\mathcal{M}_T \sim m_V^2$, $\mathcal{M}_L \sim m_V$.



• Total absorption rate

$$\Gamma_{T,L}^{\text{abs}} = \frac{1}{2\omega} \sum_{f} |\mathcal{M}_{V_{T,L}+i \to f}|^{2}$$

$$\sim \sum_{f} \langle i | J_{\text{em}}^{\mu\dagger} | f \rangle \langle f | J_{\text{em}}^{\nu} | i \rangle = \langle i | J_{\text{em}}^{\mu\dagger} J_{\text{em}}^{\nu} | i \rangle$$

$$\text{Unitarity}$$

$$-2 \text{Im} \langle J_{\text{em}}^{\mu\dagger}, J_{\text{em}}^{\nu} \rangle$$

$$\Pi_{T} = -\omega^{2} \Delta \varepsilon_{r}$$

$$\Pi_{L} = -(\omega^{2} - |\vec{k}|^{2}) \Delta \varepsilon_{r}$$

$$\text{Im} \Pi_{T}, \text{Im} \Pi_{L}$$



• Total absorption rate

$$\Gamma_{T} = \frac{\kappa^{2} \omega \left(\frac{m_{V}^{2}}{\omega^{2} |\Delta \epsilon_{r}|}\right)^{2} \operatorname{Im} \epsilon_{r}}{1 + \frac{2m_{V}^{2} \omega^{2} \operatorname{Re} \Delta \epsilon_{r} + m_{V}^{4}}{\omega^{4} |\Delta \epsilon_{r}|^{2}}} \xrightarrow{m_{V}^{2} \ll \omega^{2} |\Delta \epsilon_{r}|} \kappa^{2} \omega \left(\frac{m_{V}^{2}}{\omega^{2} |\Delta \epsilon_{r}|}\right)^{2} \operatorname{Im} \epsilon_{r}}{\Gamma_{L}} = \frac{\kappa^{2} m_{V}^{2} \operatorname{Im} \epsilon_{r}}{|\epsilon_{r}|^{2} \omega}$$

• $\Delta \varepsilon_r \propto n_A$, Atom number density $\Gamma_T \propto n_A^{-1}$ $\Gamma_L \propto n_A$

If transverse modes dominate

- $\Gamma_T \propto n_A^{-1}$
- The effective atom number density should as small as possible.
- CAST experiment



- Unevenly distributed low density detector
- Dark matter detectors
 - Signal rates depend on the gap between the shielding and the detector
 - Daily modulation and annual modulation

If longitudinal mode dominates

- $\Gamma_L \propto n_A$
- High density, large volume dark matter detectors
- No significant modulations





Longitudinal or transverse, it's a question!



• Total production rate m_V scaling

 $\Gamma_T^{\rm prod} \propto \kappa^2 m_V^4 \omega_p^{-4}$ $\Gamma_L^{\rm prod} \propto \kappa^2 m_V^2 \omega^{-2}$

• In <u>arXiv:0801.1527 (JCAP 0807,008 (2008))</u> $\Pi_L = \omega_p^2 - |\vec{k}|^2 \implies \Gamma_L \propto m_V^4$

Not correct!



• Resonant production

$$\mathcal{M}_{i \to f+V_{T,L}} = -\frac{\kappa m_V^2}{m_V^2 - \Pi_{T,L}} [eJ^{\mu}_{\mathrm{em}}]_{fi} \epsilon^{T,L}_{\mu}$$

Transverse resonance

Longitudinal resonance

$$m_V^2 = \operatorname{Re}\Pi_T = \omega_p^2$$
$$\downarrow^{\bullet}$$
$$m_V^2 = \omega_p^2$$



• Resonant production



- On shell
- In thermal field theory, this is equivalent to that a thermal bath of photon slowly transits into dark photons.



- Resonant production
 - On shell conditions

Transverse photon

Dark photon

$$\omega^2 - |\vec{k}|^2 = \omega_p^2 \qquad \qquad \omega^2 - |\vec{k}|^2 = m_V^2$$
$$m_V^2 = \omega_p^2$$

Longitudinal plasmon

(collective motion of electrons)



• Bose-Einstein distribution for both T-photon and Lplasmon, the dark radiation powers are

$$\frac{dP_T}{dVd\omega} = \frac{\kappa^2 \omega_p^4 \sqrt{\omega^2 - \omega_p^2}}{2\pi (e^{\omega/T} - 1)} \delta(m_V - \omega_p)$$
$$\frac{dP_L}{dVd\omega} = \frac{\kappa^2 m_V^2 \omega_p^2 \sqrt{\omega^2 - m_V^2}}{4\pi (e^{\omega/T} - 1)} \delta(\omega - \omega_p)$$

• Inside the Sun, $1~{
m eV}\lesssim\omega_p\lesssim 300~{
m eV}$

 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm T-mode\ dominates\ ,} \quad 1\ {\rm eV} \lesssim m_V \lesssim 300\ {\rm eV} \\ {\rm L-mode\ dominates\ ,} \quad m_V \ll 1\ eV \end{array}$



Stellar constraints





Requirement to detectors

- Based on the correct analysis, the total absorption rate for the solar dark flux $\Gamma^{abs}\propto n_A$
- High density, large volume
- Inside the Sun, $1 \text{ eV} \lesssim \omega_p \lesssim 300 \text{ eV}$ The detector should $\Phi_L (10^9 \text{ eV}^{-1} \text{sec}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2})$ 10^4 be able to detect 1000 $\sim 100 \text{ eV}$ energy 100 deposition 10 1 5 10 50 100 500 1000 1

energy (eV)



XENON10 limit 0.40 ×× × × 0.35 • XENONIO \times ×× × XXX ×× Sec. 30 × × \times $^{20.06}_{S5}$ width σ_{e} \times ⋇ × \times \times 0.10 32 16 8 64 e-Number of electrons 0.05 2 0.5 5 20 10 1 [keV] nuclear recoil energy E_{nr} 300 eV ~ 25 electrons 10^{4} $\Phi_L (10^9 \text{ eV}^{-1} \text{sec}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2})$ • Br ≈ 1 1000 $E_1 \approx 12 \text{ eV}$ 100 Photo-ionization 10 dominates. 1 5 10 50 100 500 1000 1 energy (eV)



CoGeNT limit

• CoGeNT data available from 400 eV.



Stueckelberg case





Outline

- What is dark photon?
 - Lagrangian
 - Origin of mass
 - Stueckelberg case and Higgsed case
- Stueckelberg case
 - Solar flux and stellar constraints
 - direct detection
- Higgsed case
 - Solar flux and stellar constraints
 - direct detection
- Summary



Higgsed case

- Direct detection
 - Solar flux
 - Total absorption rate
 - Branching ratio to desired signal







Higgs-strahlung

• Resonance decay

 $N_L \sim \omega_p^3 , \ N_T \sim T^3$ $T^3 \gg \omega_p^3$, in the Sun

Jem Dominant, $m_V^2 \ll \omega_p^2$ sub – dominant, $m_V \sim \omega_p$

Transverse photon decay dominates.



• Dark Higgs-strahlung process dominates in small m_V region, using Goldstone equivalence theorem:





Total absorption rate, summing over all possible final state





• Total absorption rate:





Absorption rate

Inelastic scattering of dark Higgs

 $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Collinear divergence regularized} \\ \mbox{by the medium effect.} \\ \hline \\ \frac{d\Gamma}{d\omega} \approx \frac{\kappa^2 e'^2}{4\pi^2} \frac{E-\omega}{E} \left[\log \left(\frac{4E(E-\omega)}{\omega^2 |\Delta \varepsilon_r|} \right) - 1 \right] \mbox{Im} \varepsilon_r(\omega) \\ \hline \\ \mbox{Energy injected} \\ \mbox{into the medium} \end{array} \right] \\ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{Energy of incoming} \\ \mbox{Higgs} \end{array}$

• ${\rm Br} \approx 1$ for both XENON10 and CoGeNT



- Issue with ε_r
 - Lorentz symmetry is broken by the medium to the SO(3) rotation symmetry.
 - \circ In general, $arepsilon_r = arepsilon_r(\omega, |ec{k}|^2)$.
 - However, the dependence on k^2 is suppressed if



• This is always true in our situation.



Higgsed case





Higgsed case



Summary and outlook

- The stellar bounds are significantly strengthened in the small m_V region.
- Large volume, high density materials should be used to build solar dark photon detectors.
- For the Stueckelberg case, the XENON10 result gives the most stringent constraint on the parameter space.
- For the Higgsed case, we expect the next generation dark matter detector can be more sensitive to the current stellar constraint.
- Future detectors with low electron recoil threshold DAMIC (Alvaro's talk), Sub-MeV detectors (Essig et al), Semiconductor detectors (Graham et al).