		Technical Report Documentation Page
1. Report No.	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.
UMTRI-2013-2		
4. Title and Subtitle		5. Report Date
Estimation of Seatbelt and Frontal-Airbag Effectiveness in Trucks:		January 2013
U.S. and Chinese Perspectives		6. Performing Organization Code
		383818
7. Author(s)		8. Performing Organization Report No.
Jingwen Hu and Daniel Blower		UMTRI-2013-2
9. Performing Organization Name and Address		10. Work Unit no. (TRAIS)
The University of Michigan		
Transportation Research Institute		11. Contract or Grant No
2901 Baxter Road, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-2150 U.S.A.		
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address		13. Type of Report and Period Covered
The University of Michigan		14 Sponsoring Agonau Cada
Sustainable Worldwide Transportation		14. Sponsoring Agency Code
15. Supplementary Notes		

The current members of Sustainable Worldwide Transportation include Autoliv Electronics, China FAW Group, General Motors, Honda R&D Americas, Meritor WABCO, Michelin Americas Research, Nissan Technical Center North America, Renault, Saudi Aramco, Toyota Motor Engineering and Manufacturing North America, and Volkswagen Group of America. Information about Sustainable Worldwide Transportation is available at:

http://www.umich.edu/~umtriswt

16. Abstract

The objectives of this study were (1) to estimate the effectiveness of seatbelts and driver airbags for mitigating medium- and heavy-truck driver injuries, and (2) to discuss the implication of these estimates with respect to truck-driving conditions in the U.S. and China.

U.S. data showed that fatal or serious injuries of truck drivers are caused mainly by rollover, collision with a light vehicle or another truck, or collision with fixed objects. Rollover crashes account for most serious injuries, and pose the highest injury risk per crash. By controlling for the difference in crash-type distributions between trucks and light vehicles, seatbelts were estimated to be about 58% effective in reducing truck-driver injuries— comparable to the value for light vehicles. Airbags' effectiveness was calculated to be about 6% for unbelted truck drivers and 4% for belted truck drivers—lower than that for light vehicles, primarily because of the higher proportion of rollover injuries sustained by truck drivers.

The main relevant difference between truck-driving conditions in the U.S. and China is the seatbelt-use rate of truck drivers: greater than 70% in the U.S., but likely less than 10% in China. This difference would likely reduce the true effectiveness of seatbelts. Therefore, it is important to encourage Chinese truck drivers to wear seatbelts, because the effectiveness of seatbelts at high use rates is much greater than that for airbags. In addition, the lower truck traveling speeds in China would likely result in a lower percentage of truck rollover crashes than in the U.S. Consequently, the effectiveness of both seatbelts and airbags in reducing truck driver injuries may be slightly higher in China than in the U.S.

^{17. Key Words}			18. Distribution Statement
Medium/Heavy Trucks, Seatbelt Effectiveness, Airbag Effectiveness			Unlimited
19. Security Classification (of this report) None	20. Security Classification (of this page) None	21. No. of Pages 41	22. Price