Annual Perspectives in Mathematics Education

Rehumanizing Mathematics for Black, Indigenous, and Latinx Students

2018

Imani Goffney

Volume Editor University of Maryland College Park, Maryland

Rochelle Gutiérrez

Volume Editor University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Champaign, Illinois

Melissa Boston

Series Editor Duquesne University Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania





ributed to
d Kathleen
we would like to
kema, for their

Melissa Boston ries Editor, APME hool of Education quesne University

The Need to Rehumanize Mathematics

Rochelle Gutiérrez, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Our field has experienced a heightened focus on equity-based school reforms that seek to help students "play the game" of mathematics (e.g., addressing access and achievement). Yet we have not sufficiently interrogated how such reforms can ignore the need to "change the game" of mathematics (e.g., address students' identities and power dynamics inside and outside of schools) (Gutiérrez 2009, 2012). Beyond being seen as a legitimate participant (a "doer" of mathematics), a student should be able to feel whole as a person—to draw upon all of their cultural and linguistic resources—while participating in school mathematics. That is, every student should be provided with windows and mirrors (Style 1996) onto the world through mathematics (Gutiérrez 2007); they should see aspects of themselves reflected back (mirror) as well as obtain views of new worlds outside of their own (windows). Unfortunately, for many students, mathematics classrooms are experienced almost exclusively as windows. We are in need of research-based illustrations of teachers' and researchers' initiatives that promote forms of what I refer to as *rehumanizing mathematics*.

■ Why a Focus on Indigenous, Latinx, and Black?

Teaching and learning are not universal or neutral endeavors; the identities of teachers and students, as well as the contexts in which they work, all matter (Aguirre, Mayfield-Ingram, and Martin 2013; Anhalt et al. 2018; Berry 2008; Brown 2009; Celedón-Pattichis and Ramirez 2012; Gutiérrez and Irving 2012; Larnell, Bullock, and Jett 2016; Turner et al. 2013). Not only do teachers need to develop specific knowledge of the mathematics they will teach, they also need to connect that knowledge with an understanding of their own privileges (Goffney 2016), the students they seek to serve (Aguirre, Mayfield-Ingram, and Martin 2013; Berry and Thunder 2015; Goffney 2010; Myers 2014; Myers et al. 2015), and the social justice goals they hold (Anhalt, Cortez, and Smith 2017; Brown 2016, 2017). In fact, standards documents and reform initiatives that emphasize "mathematics for all" or that implicitly perpetuate a universal view of teaching and learning miss the point that many of the structures that exist in mathematics education are the very things that have created the inequities for historically marginalized students in the first place (Berry, Ellis, and Hughes 2014; Bullock 2017b; Martin 2013, 2015; National Council of Supervisors of Mathematics and TODOS Mathematics for ALL [NCSM and TODOS] 2016). As I have noted elsewhere:

knowledge and power are inextricably linked. That is, because the production of knowledge reflects the society in which it is created, it brings with it the power relations that are part of society. What counts as knowledge, how we come to "know" things, and who is privileged in the process are all part and parcel of issues of power . . . Without an explicit focus on issues of identity and power, we are unlikely to do more than tinker with the arrangements in school that contribute to the production of inequities in the lived experiences of learners and educators. We must be willing and able to embrace the sociopolitical turn. Such embracing will help us better understand the current situation in its moment in history as it has been constructed so we can open the door for other possible arrangements. (Gutiérrez 2010/2013, p. 8, pp. 26–27)

In fact, mathematics education cannot truly improve until it adequately addresses the very students who the system has most failed. As such, merely tinkering or basically repeating the same approaches is not likely to produce different results (Gutiérrez 2017c). Instead, we need a central focus on students who are Latinx, Black, and Indigenous in ways that build upon their strengths (Aguirre and del Rosario Zavala 2013; Celedón-Pattichis, Musanti, and Marshall 2010; Turner et al. 2012) and consider intersectionality (Bullock 2017a; Gholson 2016; Gholson, Bullock, and Alexander 2012; Morton and Smith-Mutegi [chapter 2, this volume]). This central focus does not mean simply supporting students who are Indigenous, Black, and Latinx to do well by Whitestream standards. It means developing practices and measures that feel humane to those specific communities as a means to guide the field.

Throughout this introduction, I change the order of the terms Black, Indigenous, and Latinx so as to maintain the importance of each. Moreover, changing the order discourages the reader from thinking this group of three can be reduced to a single acronym (e.g., BIL) and thought of as a homogenous entity. Varying the order also encourages the reader to consider what is highlighted when one begins with a different group first, as well as how the groups relate to and intersect with one another, even while recognizing there is often more variation within a given group than between groups. For similar reasons, the sections of this volume are not divided by racial/cultural identities but by conceptual focus.

This volume begins with the assumption that people throughout the world already do mathematics in everyday ways that are humane (Carraher, Carraher, and Schliemann 1985; Lave 1988; Masingila 1994, 2002; Nuñes, Schliemann, and Carraher 1993; Saxe 1998). Yet schooling often creates structures, policies, and rituals that can convince people they are no longer mathematical. In this way, those structures, policies, and practices can be experienced as dehumanizing. Take the notion of students' misconceptions. Many teachers have been trained to anticipate the misconceptions that students have so that they can address them in their lessons. Yet, students don't have misconceptions. They have conceptions. And those conceptions make sense for them, until they encounter something that no longer works. They are only "misconceptions" when we begin with the expectation that others need to come to our way of thinking or viewing the world. For too long, that expectation has meant that the teaching and learning of mathematics continues to support an agenda of White supremacist capitalist patriarchy and settler colonialism (Leyva 2017; Martin 2008; Stinson 2013; Tuck and Yang 2012; Warburton 2015, 2017). Not until we seek to stand in the shoes of our students, to understand their conceptions, will we be on the path toward recognizing and embracing their humanity. When I have asked students and teachers across the nation what kinds of practices can feel dehumanizing in mathematics education, among other things, they report-

- measur
- evaluat and sta
- being a
 being u
 native
- rule fol rules d
- speed whether
- separat that ma in polit

It is st are experie although e in the mor to such pracareer of to with other we should Instead, w

This w rehumaniz. As a whole dehumanic dents, and as humans

Why R

Given that why the fire not be so u superficial their theorething when fails to prosetting good tendency in we are add

Unlik an ongoin Moreover

- measuring categorizing bodies (e.g., tracking, mathematics as a filter);
- evaluation that does not honor complexity, context, or individuals' own goals (e.g., high-stakes and standardized testing, value-added modeling);
- being asked to leave one's identity at the door (e.g., color-blind teaching, strict pacing guides, being unable to use "foreign" algorithms from one's home country, being unable to use one's native language);
- rule following as opposed to rule breaking or creation (e.g., following what seem to be arbitrary rules developed by others);
- speed valued over reflection (e.g., get the answer quickly, cover the curriculum regardless of whether students understand); and
- separation of mathematical practice from politics/values/ethics (e.g., perpetuating the myth that mathematics is objective and culture free, being expected to teach/learn without bringing in politics).

It is striking how many of the aforementioned practices that feel dehumanizing for students are experienced similarly by teachers. I use the term *dehumanizing* to highlight the fact that although each of these individual practices might only be felt as a microaggression or frustration in the moment, there is a kind of slow violence (Nixon 2011) that occurs when one is subjected to such practices over twelve to thirteen years of compulsory mathematics education (K–12) or a career of teaching. Moreover, when students and teachers are treated as if they are interchangeable with others—with little or no attention to their identities—it can feel dehumanizing. As such, we should ask ourselves why are we complicit with a set of practices that fail to serve our needs. Instead, we need to seek ways to rehumanize mathematics teaching and learning.

This volume features evidence-based examples of theorizing and practicing what I refer to as rehumanizing mathematics with students and teachers who are Indigenous, Black, and/or Latinx. As a whole, the volume is built upon a set of guiding principles that include not just identifying dehumanizing practices but offering rehumanizing ones; privileging the voices of teachers, students, and communities; attending to intersectionality where possible; and positioning the authors as humans.

■ Why Rehumanizing?

Given that there is a long history of conceptualizing and seeking to address equity, one might ask why the field needs a new term. Elsewhere (Gutiérrez 2017a), I have argued that equity might not be so useful because it is bogged down in history. That is, equity tends to retain simplistic or superficial definitions, even when mathematics education researchers have tried to be explicit in their theorizing (Gutiérrez 2002). In addition, many people believe they are speaking of the same thing when, in fact, they have different definitions of equity in their heads. As such, the term fails to promote greater dialogue or clarification about the concept when individuals or groups are setting goals in their workplaces. This lack of consensus on what equity means, paired with the tendency in our field to view teaching and learning as universal, means that we often only know we are addressing equity when we are still very far from our target.

Unlike "equity," which can seem to represent a destination, "rehumanizing" is a verb; it reflects an ongoing process and requires constant vigilance to maintain and to evolve with contexts.

Moreover, rehumanizing is an ongoing performance and requires evidence from those for whom

things, and
Without an
han tinker with
in the lived
race the sociosituation in its
other possible

the very stuting the same need a central their strengths 1 2010; Turner Bullock, entral focus to do well by tane to those

ous, and Latinx ses the reader and thought of as at is highlighted and intersect iven group than wracial/cultural

ready do mathe-985; Lave 1988; schooling often mathematical. ranizing. Take ipate the mist, students don't for them, until when we begin the world. For tics continues to lism (Leyva 2017; until we seek to n the path toward chers across the among other

we seek to rehumanize our practices that, in fact, the practices are felt in that way. For example, teachers cannot claim their pedagogy is rehumanizing without obtaining recurring evidence from their students that they agree and without giving students opportunities to offer additional approaches for rehumanizing. I use the term *rehumanizing* as opposed to *humanizing* (Paris and Winn 2013; Rosa and Orey 2016; San Pedro and Kinloch 2017) to honor the fact that humans (and other living beings) have been practicing mathematics for centuries in ways that are humane. Among other things, women in India create elaborate and symmetrical floor patterns (rangoli) with rice that adorn the doorways of homes and get swept away with the daily entry and passage of feet (Mahalingam 2000); Black women throughout the world create complex curves and spirals through cornrow designs in hair (Eglash 1999; Gilmer n.d.); Latinx jazz, mambo, and salsa musicians produce a five-stroke son clave rhythm based on geometry and least common denominators (Toussaint 2013); and young break dancers and students of capoeira use their bodies to perform geometry and calculus through various rotations in the air. In many ways, we do not need to invent something new; we simply need to return to full presence that which tends to get erased through the process of schooling.

Partly because it departs from a Western view of mathematics (Bishop 1990), rehumanizing mathematics seeks to not only decouple mathematics from wealth, domination, and compliance (O'Neil 2016); it also recouples it with connection, joy, and belonging. When people are encouraged to express themselves through the practice of mathematics, they are more likely to draw upon an innate sense of aesthetics and intuition and to seek ways that are pleasing to them. In fact, under certain conditions, rehumanizing mathematics could be considered a form of decolonizing mathematics, but only when issues of land, sovereignty, and erasure of culture and language are taken seriously (Gutiérrez 2017b; Tuck and Yang 2012).

Readers who are familiar with the Rehumanistic Mathematics movement of the 1990s (Brown 1996, 2004) may wonder how rehumanizing mathematics differs. Although these two perspectives share the goals of solving problems in society, understanding properties of objects in the world, and posing new questions, rehumanizing mathematics also squarely addresses the politics of mathematics and of mathematics education. That is, rather than assuming a neutral response or failing to attend to power dynamics, rehumanizing mathematics recognizes that challenging the status quo will likely be met with great opposition from those with privilege and high status who benefit from the system remaining the same. It also seeks to highlight where power dynamics have played out in the history of mathematics and where mathematics might come to serve the people as opposed to vice versa. Furthermore, whereas the Rehumanistic Mathematics movement tended to privilege an individual's view of the world and their relation to it so they could understand themselves better, rehumanizing mathematics begins with the power of communities and assumes a relational view is important (recognizing oneself in others and others in oneself) so that we might better understand and live alongside of one another and so that we might practice mathematics in ways that transform reality in emancipatory ways (Gerdes 1985).

■ What Might Count as Rehumanizing Practices?

Although many concepts can contribute to rehumanizing mathematics for students and teachers who are Latinx, Black, and Indigenous, eight dimensions stand out for me. They include:

- (1) participation/positioning, (2) cultures/histories, (3) windows/mirrors, (4) living practice,
- (5) creation, (6) broadening mathematics, (7) body/emotions, and (8) ownership. Rehumanizing

mathema rooms an in terms than seek to culture. the histor reconnect mathema and mirro new way you) helps mathemat students a histories t idea that practices

Reco izing mat see mathe answers, a verb rati recognize their own can encou tent with ducing wh past, thou mathemat teaching t involves b in K-12 c and favori room for viewing m from a pu voice, visi more in tu "just prete more rehu rehumani for onesell ing onesel

rehumani

the school

addressed

For example, evidence fer additional to (Paris and that humans at are humane. It is in the control of the contr

rehumanizing
ad compliance
ple are encourely to draw upon
em. In fact,
of decolonizing
I language are

the 1990s
gh these two perof objects in the
ses the politics
utral response or
challenging the
high status who
er dynamics have
erve the people as
rement tended to
derstand themand assumes a
so that we might
e mathematics in

nts and teachers include: ng practice, Rehumanizing

mathematics with respect to participation/positioning involves recognizing hierarchies in classrooms and society and shifting the role of authority from teacher/text to other students. That is, in terms of mathematics classrooms, we might see students responding more to each other, rather than seeking approval or evaluation from the teacher. Rehumanizing mathematics with respect to cultures/histories acknowledges students' funds of knowledge, algorithms from other countries, the history of mathematics, and ethnomathematics. In terms of classrooms, we might see students reconnecting with their own histories or ancestors and roots as they are learning the histories of mathematics. In this way, cultures/histories opens the door for providing students with windows and mirrors. That is, students can come to see themselves in the curriculum and also others or a new way of viewing the world. The Mayan concept In Lak'ech (You are another me; I am another you) helps illustrate the fact that students are not just seeking to know themselves with respect to mathematics; they are seeking to understand themselves and others in relationship. In this way, students are learning about different cultures and histories, but also taking into account particular histories that may relate to those in the classroom. Moreover, windows and mirrors takes up the idea that students can be taught to appreciate (not just critique, as is promoted in the mathematical practices of the Common Core State Standards) the view of others.

Recognizing mathematics as a living practice is another important dimension of rehumanizing mathematics, as it underscores mathematics as something in motion. When students can see mathematics as full of not just culture and history, but power dynamics, debates, divergent answers, and rule breaking, it highlights the human element and helps promote a version that is a verb rather than a noun. Moreover, seeing mathematics as living practice means individuals can recognize modern mathematics as relatively young and look to practice it in different ways, for their own purposes (not just for school or credentialing). This notion of creation is important, as it can encourage students to invent new algorithms or forms of doing mathematics that are consistent with their own values. In this sense, doing mathematics can mean more than simply reproducing what has come before oneself or that which has been sanctioned by mathematicians in the past, though it does not mean a kind of "anything goes" mathematics. Moreover, understanding mathematics as arising from individuals breaking rules can be a powerful incentive for forms of teaching that encourage such rule breaking. Another dimension of rehumanizing mathematics involves broadening mathematics. That is, currently, the mathematics to which citizens are exposed in K-12 classrooms involves primarily algebra, calculus, number sense, symbolic representation, and favoring the general case. Rehumanizing mathematics would expand that view and make room for other forms of mathematics that can allow students to see more qualitatively. Rather than viewing mathematics as culture free or value free, a more rehumanized mathematics would depart from a purely logical perspective and invite students to draw upon other parts of themselves (e.g., voice, vision, touch, intuition). By attending to emotions, individuals would be encouraged to be more in tune with themselves and less likely to succumb to pressures to ignore their senses and "just pretend" in order to do school mathematics. A critical aspect of evidence that mathematics is more rehumanized is that it conjures up feelings of joy. In a related manner, the final dimension of rehumanizing mathematics is ownership. When students view mathematics as something one does for oneself, not just for others, there is greater likelihood for "play," "invention," or simply "expressing oneself" through mathematics. One sign that students are feeling that mathematics is more rehumanized is when they choose to continue to grapple with mathematical problems long beyond the school bell or when they pose new questions for community problems they face and that can be addressed either partly or fully through mathematics.

■ Looking Forward

The pieces in this volume highlight different aspects of these eight dimensions. They open up a conversation about how we might move forward as a field if we care deeply about the mathematical experiences of Indigenous, Latinx, and Black students who are in our care. The chapters range in topics from emergent bilinguals who are Latinx preparing for a Thanksgiving meal to girls who are Black learning in a STEM institute. The concepts featured in this volume arise not through a set of pre-scripted practices but organically from professionals (many who share identities of their students) who put students and their needs first. Although each chapter is situated within a section topic (e.g., attending to students' identities, professional development that embraces community, and principles for teaching and teacher identity), there is great overlap. That is, each chapter has elements that could fit within other sections. For example, although the chapter by Winger, Young, Stovall, Sword, Badertscher, Gates, MacDowell, and Cuoco models a form of professional development whereby mathematics faculty educate each other as well as practicing teachers, it also showcases important principles for teaching and teacher identity. Moreover, each chapter speaks to others by highlighting recurring themes or identifying differences based upon context. For example, the chapters by Barajas-López and Bang; Caswell, Jones, LaPointe, and Kabatay; and Bunton, Cook and Tamburini all take seriously the voices of community members who are Indigenous and the power of attending to worldviews, but each location highlights how those views play out differently.

As you read through this volume, consider the following questions:

- In what way(s) does starting with students and teachers who are Latinx, Black, and Indigenous alter what counts as mathematics education or how the field might change in response?
- In each of the chapters, from whose perspective is the work rehumanizing? How do we know?
- What are some of the similarities and differences that cut across the chapters?
- How does a focus on intersectionality highlight what might otherwise be invisible?
- In what ways, if any, might these practices that are rehumanizing in their local contexts be applicable to other contexts? To other kinds of students or teachers?

Many of the rehumanizing practices we witness here—both inside and outside of school offer a glimpse into the taken-for-granted ways in which students and teachers are repeatedly subjected to dehumanizing experiences in mathematics as well as the radical work that will be required if we intend to take seriously the lives of students and teachers who are Indigenous, Latinx, and Black. After listening to the voices of students and teachers who offer more humane practices, are we prepared to carry on as if business is usual? Or, are we up for the challenge of continuing to put Latinx, Indigenous, and Black students first in order to guide the field?

References

- Aguirre, Julia, and Maria del Rosario Zavala. "Making Culturally Responsive Mathematics Teaching Explicit: A Lesson Analysis Tool." Pedagogies: An International Journal 8, no. 2 (2013): 163-90.
- Aguirre, Julia, Karen Mayfield-Ingram, and Danny B. Martin. The Impact of Identity on K-8 Mathematics: Rethinking Equity-Based Practices. Reston, Va.: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics, 2013.
- Anhalt, Cynthia, Ricardo Cortez, and Aliceson Smith. "Mathematical Modeling: Creating Opportunities for Participation in Mathematics." In Access and Equity: Promoting High Quality Mathematics in Grades

Council o Anhalt, Cynthii Relevant Dori, Zer Berry, Robert C Middle S Berry, Robert Q Stories of Race Eth Berry, Robert Q Metasynt files/pdfs Bishop, Alan. " (1990): 51 Brown, Kyndall Males." P . "Tension tary on F in Mather Charlotte Multiculti Brown, Stephen Education Press, 199 Journal 1, Bullock, Erika Education Mathema University Studies 53 Carraher, Terezi Schools." Celedón-Pattid tions on I and Learn York: Pala

"Disposi

"Human

"Only S

Celedón-Patticl

Eglash, Ron. At

in Mathen

University

6-8, edite

6

The Need to Rehumanize Mathematics INTRODUCTION

- 6–8, edited by Anthony Fernandes, Sandra Crespo, and Marta Civil, pp. 105–19. Reston, Va.: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics, 2017.
- Anhalt, Cynthia, Susan Staats, Ricardo Cortez, and Marta Civil. "Mathematical Modeling and Culturally Relevant Pedagogy." In *Cognition, Metacognition, and Culture in STEM Education*, edited by Yehudit Judy Dori, Zemira Mevarech, and Dale Baker, pp. 307–30. Dordrecht, the Netherlands: Springer, 2018.
- Berry, Robert Q., III. "Access to Upper-Level Mathematics: The Stories of Successful African American Middle School Boys." *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education* 39, no. 5 (2008): 464–88.
- Berry, Robert Q., III, Mark Ellis, and Sherick Hughes. "Examining a History of Failed Reforms and Recent Stories of Success: Mathematics Education and Black Learners of Mathematics in the United States." *Race Ethnicity and Education* 17, no. 4 (2014): 540–68.
- Berry, Robert Q., III, and Kateri Thunder. "Black Learners' Perseverance with Mathematics: A Qualitative Metasynthesis." *Mathematics Instruction for Perseverance* (2015). http://www.spencer.org/sites/default/files/pdfs/berry_thunder_mip_0415.pdf.
- Bishop, Alan. "Western Mathematics: The Secret Weapon of Cultural Imperialism." *Race and Class* 32, no. 2 (1990): 51–65.
- Brown, Kyndall A. "Culture, Identity, and Mathematics: Creating Learning Spaces for African American Males." PhD diss., University of California, Los Angeles, 2009.
- . "Dispositions of First Year Teachers Who Teach Mathematics for Social Justice." *Journal of Multicultural Affairs* 2, no. 1 (2017): 1–9.
- Brown, Stephen I. "Towards Humanistic Mathematics Education." In *International Handbook in Mathematics Education*, edited by Alan Bishop et al., pp. 1289–1321. Dordrecht, the Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Press, 1996.
- Bullock, Erika C. "Beyond 'Ism' Groups and Figure Hiding: Intersectional Analysis and Critical Mathematics Education." In *Mathematics Education and Life at Times of Crisis: Proceedings of the Ninth International Mathematics Education and Society Conference*, edited by Anna Chronaki, pp. 29–44. Thessaly, Greece: University of Thessaly Press, 2017a.
- Carraher, Terezinha N., David W. Carraher, and Analúcia D. Schliemann. "Mathematics in the Streets and in Schools." *British Journal of Developmental Psychology* 3, no. 1 (1985): 21–29.
- Celedón-Pattichis, Sylvia, Sandra I. Musanti, and Mary E. Marshall. "Bilingual Elementary Teachers' Reflections on Using Students' Native Language and Culture to Teach Mathematics." In *Mathematics Teaching and Learning in K–12: Equity and Professional Development*, edited by Mary Q. Foote, pp. 7–24. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2010.
- Celedón-Pattichis, Sylvia, and Nora Ramirez, eds. *Beyond Good Teaching: Strategies That Are Imperative for ELLs in Mathematics Classrooms*. Reston, Va.: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics, 2012.
- Eglash, Ron. African Fractals: Modern Computing and Indigenous Design. New Brunswick, N.J.: Rutgers University Press, 1999.

7

- They open about the our care. The r a Thanksgiving ed in this volume als (many who ugh each chapter ional develop-, there is great For example, MacDowell, and w educate each teaching and rring themes rajas-López nburini all take of attending to
- k, and Indigenous response?
- 2
- al contexts be

isible?

- de of school—
 re repeatedly
 de that will be
 Indigenous,
 er more humane
 e challenge of
- s Teaching Explicit:
- 8 Mathematics: thematics, 2013.

the field?

Opportunities

Rehumanizing Mathematics for Black, Indigenous, and Latinx Students

- Gerdes, Paulus. "Conditions and Strategies for Emancipatory Mathematics Education in Underdeveloped Countries." For the Learning of Mathematics 5, no. 1 (1985): 15–20.
- Gholson, Maisie L. "Clean Corners and Algebra: A Critical Examination of the Constructed Invisibility of Black Girls and Women in Mathematics." *The Journal of Negro Education* 85, no. 3 (2016): 290–301. doi:10.7709/jnegroeducation.85.3.0290
- Gholson, Maisie L., Erika C. Bullock, and Nathan N. Alexander. "On the Brilliance of Black Children: A Response to a Clarion Call." *Journal of Urban Mathematics Education* 5, no. 10 (2012): 1–7.
- Gilmer, Gloria. "Mathematical Patterns in African American Hairstyles." http://www.math.buffalo.edu/mad/special/gilmer-gloria_HAIRSTYLES.html.
- Goffney, Imani M. "Identifying, Measuring, and Defining Equitable Mathematics Instruction." PhD diss., University of Michigan, 2010.
- . "Challenging Deficit Language." In Cases for Teacher Educators: Facilitating Conversations about Inequities in Mathematics Classrooms, edited by Dorothy Y. White, Sandra Crespo, and Marta Civil, pp. 389–94. Charlotte, N.C.: Information Age Publishing, 2016.
- Gutiérrez, Rochelle. "Enabling the Practice of Mathematics Teachers in Context: Toward a New Equity Research Agenda." *Mathematical Thinking and Learning 4*, no. 2 and 3 (2002): 145–87.
- ... "Context Matters: Equity, Success, and the Future of Mathematics Education." In *Proceedings of the 29th Annual Meeting of the North American Chapter of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education*, edited by Teruni de Silva Lamberg and Lynda R. Wiest, pp. 1–18. Stateline (Lake Tahoe), Nevada. University of Nevada, Reno, 2007.
- _____. "Framing Equity: Helping Students 'Play the Game' and 'Change the Game." *Teaching for Excellence and Equity in Mathematics* 1, no. 1 (2009): 4–8.
- _____. "Embracing 'Nepantla': Rethinking Knowledge and Its Use in Teaching." *REDIMAT: Journal of Research in Mathematics Education* 1, no. 1 (2012): 29–56.
- _____. Equity: How the E-Word Helps and Hurts Our Cause in Mathematics Education. A plenary address given in the Critical Issues in Mathematics Education Workshop. Mathematical Sciences Research Institute. March 15, 2017. Berkeley, Calif. (2017a). https://www.msri.org/workshops/836/schedules/21847.
- . "Living Mathematx: Towards a Vision for the Future." *Philosophy of Mathematics Education Journal* 32, no. 1 (2017b). http://socialsciences.exeter.ac.uk/education/research/centres/stem/publications/pmej/pome32/index.html.
- . "Why Mathematics Education Was Late to the Backlash Party: The Need for a Revolution." *Journal of Urban Mathematics Education* 10, no. 2 (2017c): 8–24.
- Gutiérrez, Rochelle, and Sonya E. Irving. *Latina/o and Black Students and Mathematics*. A white paper commissioned by the Nellie Mae Foundation (a Jobs for the Future project). Boston: Nellie Mae, 2012. http://www.jff.org/publications/latinoa-and-black-students-and-mathematics-student-center-series.
- Larnell, Gregory V., Erika C. Bullock, and Christopher C. Jett. "Rethinking Teaching and Learning Mathematics for Social Justice from a Critical-Race Perspective." *Journal of Education* 196, no. 1 (2016): 19–29.
- Lave, Jean. Cognition in Practice: Mind, Mathematics, and Culture in Everyday Life. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, 1988.
- Leyva, Luis. "Unpacking the Male Superiority Myth and Masculinization of Mathematics at the Intersections: A Review of Research on Gender in Mathematics Education." *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education* 48, no. 4 (2017): 397–452.

Mahalingan In *M*a Mahal

Martin, Dan The N (2008)

____. "Race no. 1 (2

____. "The (2015)

Masingila, Jo no. 4 (1

> . "Exan Mather Va.: Na

Myers, Marie Elemen 2014.

Myers, Marie Equital (2015):

National Cou "Mathe ability: 3.pospa

Nixon, Rob. 8 2011.

Nunes, Terezi Cambri

O'Neil, Cathy York: B

Paris, Django,

Rosa, Milton, Humani

San Pedro, Tir Sustainii

Saxe, Geoffrey

Stinson, David in School

Style, Emily. "

- Inderdeveloped
- ed Invisibility of 116): 290–301.
- k Children: A
- .buffalo.edu/mad/
- ion." PhD diss.,
- tions about Inequi-Civil, pp. 389–94.
- New Equity
- Proceedings of the
 Psychology of MathStateline (Lake
- ting for Excellence
- matics Education 44,
- AT: Journal of
- enary address given Research Institute. edules/21847.
- discation Journal 32, blications/pmej/
- evolution." Journal of
- white paper commis-Mae, 2012. http://
- Learning Matheno. 1 (2016): 19–29.
- idge, U.K.:
- s at the Intersec-

- Mahalingam, Ram. "Beyond Eurocentrism: Implications of Social Epistemology for Mathematics Education." In *Multicultural Curriculum: New Directions For Social Theory, Practice, and Policy*, edited by Ram Mahalingam and Cameron McCarthy. New York: Routledge, 2000.
- Martin, Danny B. "E(race)ing Race from a National Conversation on Mathematics Teaching and Learning: The National Mathematics Panel as White Institutional Space." *The Montana Math Enthusiast* 5, no. 2–3 (2008): 387–98.
- . "Race, Racial Projects, and Mathematics Education." *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education* 44, no. 1 (2013): 316–33.
- Masingila, Joanna O. "Mathematical Practice in Carpet Laying." *Anthropology and Education Quarterly* 25, no. 4 (1994): 430–62.
- . "Examining Students' Perceptions of Their Everyday Mathematics Practice." Everyday and Academic Mathematics in the Classroom, Monograph Vol. 11, Journal for Research in Mathematics Education. Reston, Va.: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics, 2002.
- Myers, Marielle. The Use of Learning Trajectory-Based Instruction in Supporting Equitable Teaching Practices in Elementary Classrooms: A Multi-Case Study. Unpublished doctoral diss., North Carolina State University, 2014.
- Myers, Marielle, Paola Sztajn, P. Holt Wilson, and Cyndi Edgington. "From Implicit to Explicit: Articulating Equitable Learning Trajectories-Based Instruction." *Journal of Urban Mathematics Education* 8, no. 2 (2015): 11–22.
- National Council of Supervisors of Mathematics and TODOS Mathematics for ALL (NCSM and TODOS). "Mathematics Education through the Lens of Social Justice: Acknowledgment, Actions, and Accountability. A Joint Position Statement" (2016). http://www.todos-math.org/assets/docs2016/2016Enews/3.pospaper16_wtodos_8pp.pdf.
- Nixon, Rob. Slow Violence and the Environmentalism of the Poor. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 2011.
- Nunes, Terezinha, Analúcia D. Schliemann, and David W. Carraher. Street Mathematics and School Mathematics. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- O'Neil, Cathy. Weapons of Math Destruction: How Big Data Increases Inequality and Threatens Democracy. New York: Broadway Books, 2016.
- Paris, Django, and Maisha T. Winn, eds. *Humanizing Research: Decolonizing Qualitative Inquiry with Youth and Communities.* Thousand Oaks, Calif.: Sage Publications, 2013.
- Rosa, Milton, and Daniel Clark Orey. "Humanizing Mathematics through Ethnomodelling." *Journal of Humanistic Mathematics* 6, no. 2 (2016): 3–22.
- San Pedro, Timothy, and Valerie Kinloch. "Toward Projects in Humanization: Research on Co-Creating and Sustaining Dialogic Relationships." *American Educational Research Journal* 54, no. 1 (2017): 373S–394S.
- Saxe, Geoffrey B. "Candy Selling and Math Learning." Educational Researcher 17, no. 6 (1998): 14-21.
- Stinson, David W. "Negotiating the 'White Male Math Myth': African American Male Students and Success in School Mathematics." *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education* 44, no. 1 (2013): 69–99.
- Style, Emily. "Curriculum as Window and Mirror." Social Science Record (Fall 1996). https://www.nationalseedproject.org/images/documents/Curriculum_As_Window_and_Mirror.pdf.

Rehumanizing Mathematics for Black, Indigenous, and Latinx Students

- Tuck, Eve, and K. Wayne Yang. "Decolonization Is Not a Metaphor." *Decolonization: Indigeneity, Education, and Society* 1, no. 1 (2012): 1–40.
- Toussaint, Godfried T. *The Geometry of Musical Rhythm: What Makes a "Good" Rhythm Good?* New York: CRC Press, 2013.
- Turner, Erin E., Corey Drake, Amy Roth McDuffie, Julia Aguirre, Tonya Gau Bartell, and Mary Q. Foote. "Promoting Equity in Mathematics Teacher Preparation: A Framework for Advancing Teacher Learning of Children's Multiple Mathematics Knowledge Bases." *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education* 15, no. 1 (2012): 67–82.
- Turner, Erin, Higinio Dominguez, Luz Maldonado, and Susan Empson. "English Learners' Participation in Mathematical Discussion: Shifting Positionings and Dynamic Identities." *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education* 44, no. 1 (2013): 199–234.
- Warburton, Trevor T. "Solving for Irrational Zeros: Whiteness in Mathematics Teacher Education." PhD diss., University of Utah, 2015.
- . "The Overlapping Discourses of Mathematics and Whiteness: Pushing Social Justice Out of Mathematics." Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Antonio, Texas, April 2017.