Ann Arbor April 1, 2006

Open Strings and Particle Physics

Herman Verlinde


Based on work with

Dima Malishev

Matt Buican

Martijn Wijnholt

Which door is the right one?




Which door is the right one?



The traditional, optimistic point of view on string phenomenology has been that its dual unification program – tame the Planckian realm of physics and describe all elementary particle and forces – will require a highly constrained mathematical framework, from which we can extract specific predictions for particle physics. The landscape makes clear that this is probably not true!

So, to connect string theory with particle physics, it seems opportune to develop a framework in which we do not need to commit to any detailed assumptions about physics at the Planck scale. A bottom-up perspective on string phenomenology:




- Open strings only: D3-brane(s) at a CY Singularity
- Decoupling limit: Decouple the Landscape!

Our result:

(hep-th/0508089, M. Wijnholt, HV, and to appear)


A D3-brane on a partially resolved del Pezzo 8 singularity has the particle content and interactions of the MSSM * except for some extra Higgs fields




matter particles

	1st gen.	2nd gen.	3rd gen.		
Q U A R K	up down	charm strange	top bottom		
LEPTON	ve e neutrino e electron	νμ μ neutrino μ muon	ντ τ neutrino τ tau		

gauge particles




scalar particle(s)



Elements of the Standard Model + • •

Fractional brane = bound state of wrapped D7, D5 or D3-branes



A D3-brane splits up as a sum of fractional branes $\{F_i\}$ with multiplicities n_i such that:

$$\sum_{i} n_{i} \operatorname{ch}(F_{i}) = (0, 0, 1)$$

⇒ A Moose : Quiver theory with gauge group:

$$\prod_{i} U(n_i)$$

chiral fields in (n_i, \bar{n}_j) = geometric intersection number.


How to draw a Moose:

- A node = $U(n_i)$ gauge multiplet
- Oriented line = chiral field in (n_i, \bar{n}_i)
- Equal number of incoming and outgoing lines
- ullet No lines return to the same node \implies no adjoint matter
- ullet One type of lines between nodes \implies only chiral matter



With these ingredients, let's start to prepare our first recipe:

MMSM: Minimal Moosification of the Standard Model:



• Two non-anomalous U(1)'s:

$$Y = \frac{1}{3}Y_3 - Y_0 + \frac{1}{2}(Y_u - Y_d)$$
$$B - L = \frac{1}{3}Y_3 - Y_0$$

- Two pairs of Higgs doublets per generation. \leftrightarrow rule c)
- ullet Hypercharge should survive as only massless U(1)


A del Pezzo 8 is a complex 4-manifold with nine 2-cycles:

$$h \cdot h = 1$$
 $e_i \cdot e_j = -\delta_{ij}$ $h \cdot e_i = 0$

$$k = -3h + \sum_{i} e_{i}$$
 $\alpha_{i} = e_{i} - e_{i+1}, \quad i = 1,.,7$ $\alpha_{8} = h - e_{1} - e_{2} - e_{3},$

The α_i span an E_8 -root lattice:

$$\alpha_a \cdot \alpha_b = -A_{ab}; \qquad k \cdot k = 2; \qquad k \cdot \alpha_a = 0$$




A "three block exceptional collection" on dP_8 :

$$ch(F_i) = (1, h-e_i, 0)$$
 $i = 1, ., 4$
 $ch(F_i) = (1, -k+e_i, 1)$ $i = 5, ., 8$
 $ch(F_9) = (1, 2h - \sum_{i=1}^{4} e_i, 0)$

$$ch(F_{10}) = (3, -k + \sum_{i=5}^{8} e_i, -\frac{1}{2})$$

$$ch(F_{11}) = (3, \sum_{i=5}^{8} e_i, -2)$$

Quiver diagram for a D3-brane on a dP_8 -singularity:



Partial dictionary:

Complex structure
$$\longleftrightarrow$$
 Superpotential W


Blow-up modes
$$\longleftrightarrow$$
 FI-parameters ζ

Govern moduli space of D3-brane vacua via F- and D-flatness conditions:


$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial \phi^a} = C_{abc} \phi^b \phi^c = 0 \qquad \qquad \sum_a |\phi_{ia}^+|^2 - \sum_b |\phi_{ib}^-|^2 = \zeta_i$$

Periods of 2-forms ←→ Gauge couplings


RR-field strengths \(\ldots \) Soft parameters



Symmetry breaking = Bound state formation:




$$ch(F_0) = 3 ch(F_{10}) - \sum_{i=1,2,3} ch(F_i) - ch(\tilde{F}_{11})$$



MMSM + extra U(1)'s

Geometric Interpretation:

Partial resolution: we let the dP_8 surface develop a A_2 singularity, so that we can blow-up the 2-cycles α_1 and α_2 . The new basis of fractional branes spans the full homology of dP_8 , except for α_1 and α_2 .



Embedding in full string compactification:

• Moduli stabilization

• Tadpole cancellation

D3

CY


Energy Scale

TeV

M

Planck

• Decoupling of extra U(1)'s




$$Y = \frac{1}{3}Y_3 - Y_0 + \frac{1}{2}(Y_u - Y_d)$$

	Y_0	Y_1^d	Y_1^u	Y_2	<i>Y</i> ₃	Y
Q	0	0	0	-1	1	$\frac{1}{6}$
\overline{u}	0	0	1	0	-1	$-\frac{\overline{6}}{3}$
\overline{d}	0	1	0	0	-1	<u>1</u> 3
L	1	0	0	-1	0	$-\frac{1}{2}$
$\overline{ u}$	-1	0	1	0	0	0
\overline{e}	-1	1	0	0	0	1
H^u	0	0	-1	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$
H^d	0	-1	0	1	0	$-\frac{1}{2}$

(1)

The hypercharge Y is associated with the D5-brane that wraps the 2-cycle α_4 . We make sure that α_4 is trivial within the full CY, whereas all other 2-cycles are non-trivial. All other U(1) bosons then acquire a longitudinal component from normalized RR-zero modes, and become massive via the Stückelberg mechanism.

Only hypercharge survives as a massless U(1) symmetry!



Bottom-Up Philosophy: Open String Phenomenology


Take decoupling limit from gravity and closed string moduli.

Couplings and expectation values dialed by local geometry.


Postpone issue of string compactification and the landscape.

Geometric unification: one single D3-brane?

Uniqueness: "Shape of the Standard Model"



SUSY breaking:



Gauge or Gravity Mediation?

Depends on distance between branes, relative to string scale.

Provocative Question:

Is open string phenomenology useful?

.

Provocative Question:

Is open string phenomenology useful?

Provocative Answer:

Via open string phenomenology, we may identify:

