Fractional Gaussian Noise, Subdiffusion and Stochastic Networks in Biophysics

> Samuel Kou Department of Statistics Harvard University

Single-Molecule Experiments

- Statistics & probability experienced fundamental change in the past 20 years
- Biophysics & chemistry also witnessed dramatic progress: single-molecule experiments
- Using nanotechnology, scientists can study biological processes on a single-molecule basis (eg. enzymatic kinetics, protein/DNA dynamics)

"Seeing images of single atoms is a religious experience"

--- Richard Feynman

New Aspects for Scientific Discovery

- Can measure molecular properties *individually*, instead of inferring from population statistics
- If the reaction/kinetic time is slow, ensemble experiments become almost impossible due to the *difficulty of synchronization*
- Single-molecule trajectory provides detailed dynamic information
- Understanding the dynamics of individual is essential to unlock their biofunctions

Statistical & Probabilistic Challenges

Require new stochastic modeling
 Subdiffusion Enzymatic reaction

Data are noisier, and efficient inference methodology is needed

Brownian diffusion

- Since Einstein's 1905 paper, the theory of Brownian diffusion has revolutionized not only natural sciences but also social sciences.
- Brownian motion described by Langevin equation

$$m\frac{dv_t}{dt} = -\zeta v_t + F(t)$$

where F(t) is white noise process satisfying $E\{F(t)F(t')\} = \zeta k_B T \cdot \delta(t-t')$

- by fluctuation-dissipation theorem.
- Solution: Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process v(t) Gaussian
 Displacement (location) x(t) = ∫₀^t v(s)ds

$$E\{x(t)^2\} \sim 2\frac{k_B T}{\zeta}t$$
, for large t

--- Corner stone for statistical mechanics

Subdiffusion

Brownian diffusion, however, cannot explain so called subdiffusion:

$E\{x(t)^2\} \propto t^{\alpha}, \ 0 < \alpha < 1$

 Distance fluctuation within a single protein molecule (Yang et al. 2003, Science; Min et al. 2005, Physical Review Letters).

Need tools beyond Langevin equation and BM.

Single-molecule fluorescence experiment on protein complex

- Yang et al. (2003, Science) studied a protein-enzyme complex Fre, catalyzes the reduction of flavin
- Fre contains two substructures: a flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) and a tyrosine (Tyr).
- Fluorescence lifetime of FAD varies due to **distance fluctuation**
- Relationship between fluorescence lifetime and distance

$$\gamma^{-1}(t) = [k_0 e^{-\beta(X_{eq} + X_t)}]^{-1}$$



Autocorrelation function $E\{\Delta \gamma^{-1}(0) \Delta \gamma^{-1}(t)\}$ $\Delta \gamma^{-1}(t) = \gamma^{-1}(t) - E\{\gamma^{-1}(t)\}$



• Need tools beyond Langevin equation and BM.

• The model: Generalized Langevin equation with fractional Gaussian noise (GLE with fGn).

Generalized Langevin Equation with fGn

- Langevin equation $m \frac{dv_t}{dt} = -\zeta v_t + F(t)$
- Generalized Langevin equation $m\frac{dv_t}{dt} = -\zeta \int_{-\infty}^t v_u K(t-u) du + G_t$,
- Fluctuation-dissipation theorem links memory kernel K(t) with fluctuating force $E\{G_{t}G_{r}\} = \zeta k_{R}T \cdot K(t-s)$
- Key question: How to introduce the noise structure?
- Understand the white noise: White noise is the derivative of the Wiener process $F_t = \frac{d}{dt} B_t$
- = B(t) is the unique process: (i) Gaussian, (ii) independent increment, (iii) stationary increment, (iv) self-similar

- Natural generalization: (i) Gaussian (ii) stationary increment (iii) self-similar.
- The ONLY candidate fBM $B^{(H)}(t)$, 0 < H < 1 $E\{B_t^{(H)}\}=0$, and covariance function $E\{B_t^{(H)}B_s^{(H)}\}=(|t|^{2H}+|s|^{2H}-|t-s|^{2H})/2$ for $t,s \ge 0$. when H = 1/2, reduces to B(t).
- Fractional Gaussian noise $F^{(H)}(t) = \frac{dB_t^{(H)}}{dt}$: Gaussian & stationary.
- Memory kernel

 $K_{H}(t) = E\{F^{(H)}(0)F^{(H)}(t)\}$ = $H(2H-1) |t|^{2H-2}$, for $t \neq 0$ Spectral density

$$\widetilde{K}_{H}(\varpi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it\varpi} K_{H}(t) dt = \Gamma(2H+1)\sin(H\pi) |\varpi|^{1-2H}$$

Toward subdiffusion

Applying Fourier transform on

$$m\frac{dv_t}{dt} = -\zeta \int_{-\infty}^t v_u K_H(t-u) du + F_t^{(H)},$$

$$\mathbf{v}(t) \text{ Gaussian } E\{\mathbf{v}(t)\} = 0,$$

$$C(t) = E\{\mathbf{v}(0)\mathbf{v}(t)\} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it\sigma} \widetilde{C}(\sigma) d\sigma$$

$$\widetilde{C}(\sigma) = \frac{k_B T \zeta \widetilde{K}_H(\sigma)}{\left|\zeta \widetilde{K}_H^+(\sigma) - i \sigma m\right|^2}$$

For displacement $X(t) = \int_0^t v(s) ds$ $E\{X(t)^2\} = \int_0^t \int_0^t E\{v(s)v(u)\} du ds$ $k_T = 2\sin(2H\pi)$

$$E\{X(t)^{2}\} \sim \frac{k_{B}T}{\zeta} \frac{2\sin(2H\pi)}{\pi H(2H-1)(2H-2)} t^{2-2H} \propto t^{2-2H}$$

H > 1/2 leads to subdiffusion!

Harmonic potential

GLE:
$$m\frac{dv_t}{dt} = -\zeta \int_{-\infty}^t v_u K_H(t-u) du + F_t^{(H)}$$
,
For external field $U(x)$, changes to

$$m\ddot{X}(t) = -\zeta \int_{-\infty}^{t} \dot{X}(u) K_{H}(t-u) du - U'(X_{t}) + F^{(H)}(t)$$

where $X(t) = \int_0^t v(s) ds$, $\ddot{X}(t) = \frac{dv(t)}{dt}$. For harmonic potential $U(x) = \frac{1}{2}mc$

For harmonic potential $U(x) = \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 x^2$

$$m\ddot{X}(t) = -\zeta \int_{-\infty}^{t} \dot{X}(u) K_{H}(t-u) du - m\omega^{2} X(t) + F^{(H)}(t)$$

• Fourier method gives $E{X(t)X(s)}, E{X(t)v(s)}$

Overdamped condition

Acceleration negligible, GLE reads

$$m\omega^2 X(t) = -\zeta \int_{-\infty}^t \dot{X}(u) K_H(t-u) du + F^{(H)}(t)$$

$$m\omega^2 X(t) = -\zeta \int_{-\infty}^t \dot{X}(u) K_H(t-u) du + F^{(H)}(t)$$

Solution: X(t) stationary Gaussian E{X(t)}=0

$$C_{xx}(t) = E\{X(0)X(t)\}$$
$$= \frac{k_B T}{m\omega^2} E_{2-2H}(-\frac{m\omega^2}{\zeta\Gamma(2H+1)}t^{2-2H})$$
where $E_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^k / \Gamma(\alpha k + 1)$

For all *H*, $C_{xx}(0) = E\{X(0)X(0)\} = \frac{k_B T}{m\omega^2}$

the **thermal equilibrium** value. For H = 1/2, recovers the Brownian diffusion result $C_{xx}(t) = E\{X(0)X(t)\} = \frac{k_B T}{m \omega^2} e^{-2\frac{m\omega^2}{\zeta}t}$

The Hamiltonian for GLE with fGn

- GLE with fGn can be derived from the interaction between a particle and a harmonic oscillator heat bath.
- Start from system Hamiltonian

 $H_{s} = \frac{1}{2}mv^{2} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^{2}x^{2}$ $= \frac{p^{2}}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^{2}x^{2}, \qquad p = mv$

and the heat bath Hamiltonian

$$H_{B} = \sum_{j} \left(\frac{p_{j}^{2}}{2m_{b}} + \frac{1}{2}m_{b}\omega_{j}^{2}(q_{j} - \frac{\gamma_{j}}{\omega_{j}^{2}}x)^{2} \right)$$

where m_b the media molecule mass, ω_j individual frequency, and γ_j coupling strength of *j*th oscillator. Equation of motion for $H_s + H_R$

 $\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial p} (H_s + H_B), \quad \frac{dp}{dt} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (H_s + H_B)$ $\frac{dq_j}{dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} (H_s + H_B), \quad \frac{dp_j}{dt} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} (H_s + H_B)$

Solve it. Leads to (i) GLE

where
$$m\ddot{X}(t) = -\zeta \int_{-\infty}^{t} \dot{X}(u)K(t-u)du - m\omega^{2}X(t) + F(t)$$

$$K(t) = m_b \sum_{j} \frac{\gamma_j^2}{\omega_j^2} \cos \omega_j t = m_b \int \frac{\gamma^2(\varpi)}{\varpi^2} \cos(\varpi t) g(\varpi) d\varpi$$

$$F(t) = \sum_{j} m_b \gamma_j (q_j(0) - \frac{\gamma_j}{\omega_j^2} x(0)) \cos(\omega_j t) + \sum_{j} \frac{\gamma_j}{\omega_j} p_j(0) \sin(\omega_j t)$$

and (ii) the fluctuation-dissipation theorem

$$E\{F_tF_s\} = k_B T \zeta \cdot K(t-s)$$

Furthermore, if we take

$$\gamma^{2}(\varpi)g(\varpi) = \frac{1}{\pi}\Gamma(2H+1)\sin(H\pi)|\varpi|^{3-2H} \implies \text{fGn memory kernel}$$

$$K(t) = H(2H-1)t^{2H-2}$$

Back to experiment



Fluorescence lifetime of FAD depends on the distance between FAD and Tyr

$$\gamma^{-1}(t) = [k_0 e^{-\beta (X_{eq} + X_t)}]^{-1}$$

Model X(t) by GLE with fGn under harmonic potential easy calculation of lifetime autocorrelation

$$Cov\{\gamma^{-1}(0), \gamma^{-1}(t)\} = k_0^2 e^{2\beta X_{eq} + \beta^2 C_{xx}(0)} (e^{\beta^2 C_{xx}(t)} - 1)$$

Fitting experimental autocorrelation



$$H \quad \frac{\zeta}{m\omega^2} \quad \frac{k_B T}{m\omega^2} \beta^2$$

.74 0.40 0.81

Kou and Xie, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **93**, 180603 (2004).

Higher order autocorrelation functions $\delta \gamma^{-1}(t) := \gamma^{-1}(t) - \langle \gamma^{-1}(t) \rangle$



A prediction for three-point autocorrelation

GLE with fGn predicts time symmetry

$$E\{\delta\gamma(0)^{-1}\,\delta\gamma(t_1)^{-1}\,\delta\gamma(t_1+t_2)^{-1}\}\$$

= $E\{\delta\gamma(0)^{-1}\,\delta\gamma(t_2)^{-1}\,\delta\gamma(t_1+t_2)^{-1}\}\$

In particular

$$E\{\delta\gamma^{-1}(0)\,\delta\gamma^{-1}(t)\,\delta\gamma^{-1}(3t)\}$$

= $E\{\delta\gamma^{-1}(0)\,\delta\gamma^{-1}(2t)\,\delta\gamma^{-1}(3t)\}$ for all t

Check with experiments:



Another system

A protein complex formed between fluorescein (FL) and monoclonal anti-fluorescein (anti-FL)



Min, et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 198302 (2005).



Autocorrelation of distance fluctuation



Experimental Memory kernel

$$\widetilde{K}(s) = \frac{m\omega^2}{\zeta} \frac{\widetilde{C}_x(s)}{C_x(0) - s\widetilde{C}_x(s)}$$



Brief Recap

- Propose Generalized Langevin Equation with fGn to explain subdiffusion
- Explains the observed conformational dynamics
- One set of parameters fits all
- Key model assumptions verified from experiments

Michaelis-Menten Mechnism

$$E + S \xrightarrow[k_{-1}]{k_1} ES \xrightarrow{k_2} E^0 + P, \qquad E^0 \xrightarrow{k_3} E$$

$$\frac{d[E]}{dt} = -k_1[E][S] + k_{-1}[ES]$$
$$\frac{d[ES]}{dt} = k_1[E][S] - (k_{-1} + k_2)[ES]$$
$$\frac{d[E^0]}{dt} = \frac{d[P]}{dt} = k_2[ES]$$

Classical Michaelis-Menten equation

$$\frac{v_{\max}[S]}{[S] + K_M}, \qquad v_{\max} = k_2([E] + [ES]) \\ K_M = (k_{-1} + k_2)/k_1$$



Single-molecule case $E + S \xrightarrow{k_1} ES \xrightarrow{k_2} E^0 + P$ $\frac{dP_E(t)}{dt} = -k_1[S]P_E(t) + k_{-1}P_{ES}(t)$ $\frac{dP_{ES}(t)}{dt} = k_1[S]P_E(t) - (k_{-1} + k_2)P_{ES}(t)$ [S]=0.020 mM 10² [S]=0.050 mM [S]=0.100 mM **10**¹ $\frac{dP_{E^0}(t)}{dt} = k_2 P_{ES}(t)$ **10⁰** Turnover time distribution **10**⁻¹ $f(t) = \frac{k_1 k_2 [S]}{2 \Lambda} \left[\exp(A + B)t - \exp(B - A)t \right]$ **10⁻²** $A = \sqrt{B^2 - k_1 k_2 [S]}, B = -(k_1 [S] + k_{-1} + k_2)/2$ 0.00 0.02 0.04 0.06 0.08 0.10 *t*(s) Reaction rate:

 $v = \frac{1}{E(T)} = \frac{k_2[S]}{[S] + K_M}$

Still obey the hyperbolic form



β -galactosidase



E. coli ß-gal catalyzes Hydrolysis of Lactose

The experiment uses **photogenic** substrate

$$E + S \xrightarrow[k_{-1}]{k_1} ES \xrightarrow{k_2} E^0 + P$$

Single Molecule Turnover Experiment of ß-galactosidase

Each enzymatic turnover creates a fluorescent burst resorufin (fluorescent) galactoside - resorufin (nonfluorescent) 140 nm linker polystyrene β-gal bead glass slide in 12% PEG esorufin beta-galactosidase linker microscope slip bead cover slip confocal **Excitation light** microscope objective



Low Substrate Concentration 20µM



Multi-exponential Distributions of Turnover Times



- Skewed decay at high substrate concentration
- Single exponential decay at low substrate concentration

Memory between successive turnover times

Under Michaelis-Menten Mechnism

$$E + S \xrightarrow{k_1 \atop k_{-1}} ES \xrightarrow{k_2 \atop k_2} E^0 + P$$

three-state continuous-time Markov chain

Successive turnover times should have NO correlation





Dynamic disorder – the fluctuation of enzyme

An enzyme is a dynamic entity with constant spontaneous conformation fluctuation

Conformation fluctuation occurs on a board range of time scales

Different conformation could have **different** enzymatic reaction rate constants.

Dynamic disorder – the fluctuation of enzyme



All the E₁, E₂, ... are experimentally indistinguishable

Kou et al., J. Phys. Chem. B, 109, 19068 (2005)

Dynamic disorder – the fluctuation of enzyme



Explain the memory

If parallel transition rates are small



Transitions will stay in one channel for a quite while before going to the next

Naturally give rise to the strong correlation



Turnover time: first passage time

Can be solved via Laplace transform and matrix analysis

Under various conditions

Single Molecule Michaelis-Menten Equation

$$v = \frac{1}{E(T)} = \frac{\gamma_2[S]}{[S] + C_M}$$
$$\gamma_2 = \left[\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{p(k_2)}{k_2} dk_2\right]^{-1} \qquad C_M = \frac{\gamma_2 + k_{-1}}{k_1}$$

Weighted harmonic mean

- If one of the following conditions holds:
- (a) slow interconversion between E_i
- (b) slow interconversion between ES_i
- (c) fast interconversion between E_i
- (d) fast interconversion between ES_i
- (e) $k_{-1i} >> k_{2i}$
- (f) k_{2i}/k_{-1i} = const

Quasi static

Quasi equilibrium

Conformational equilibrium



- Skewed decay at high substrate concentration
- Single exponential decay at low concentration

$$k_{11} = k_{12} = \dots = k_{1n} = k_1$$
$$k_{-11} = k_{-12} = \dots = k_{-1n} = k_{-1}$$

Turnover Time distribution for fluctuating enzymes

Quasi Static Limit:

$$f(t) = \int_{0}^{\infty} dk_2 w(k_2) \frac{k_1 k_2 [S]}{2A} \left[\exp(A + B)t - \exp(B - A)t \right]$$
$$A = \sqrt{B^2 - k_1 k_2 [S]}, B = -(k_1 [S] + k_{-1} + k_2)/2$$

Multi-exponential Distributions of Turnover Times





Consequences:

- (a) Single Molecule reconciled with ensemble study
- (b) Dynamic disorder might be masked in ensemble studies!
- (c) The apparent k_2 and K_M of the Michaelis-Menten equation are complex functions of the k_2 and K_M of a large distribution of conformers, different from their conventional interpretations.

Summary

- Michaelis-Menten with dynamic disorder
 - Introduce a stochastic network model
 - Explains experimental puzzle
 - Derive single-molecule Michaelis-Menten equation
 - Dynamic disorder might be masked in ensemble studies!
- Generalized Langevin Equation with fGn
 - Explains the observed conformational dynamics
 - □ One set of parameters fits all
 - Prediction confirmed by experimental data
 - Each model assumption verified from experiments

Summary (Continued)

The connection

□ Simple underlying picture behind both

An enzyme is a dynamic entity with conformational fluctuation on a board range of time scales.

The interconverting conformations have different enzymatic reaction rate constants.

Acknowledgement

- Xie group at Harvard Chemistry & Chemical Biology
- Binny Cherayil
- Attila Szabo
- Hong Qian
- NSF grant DMS-02-04674NSF Career Award