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Birth-death processes: Markov processes involving transitions, with known rules, within an ensemble of microscopic states

Numerous examples in physics, chemistry and astrochemistry, population biology, epidemiology, cell biochemistry etc...

Atomic processes and quantum optics

Chemical reactions
recombination, dissociation, desorption...

Population dynamics
birth, death, emigration/immigration, competition etc.
Extinction and meta-stability

Example: a branching-annihilation process
(chemical reactions $2A \rightarrow B$, $A + C \rightarrow 2A + D$; population dynamics...)

$$2A \rightarrow 0$$

$$A \rightarrow 2A$$

What does the rate equation predict?

$$\dot{n} = -\mu n^2 + \lambda n$$

attracting fixed point $$n_s = \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \equiv \Omega >> 1$$

a natural large parameter

System stays at $n=\Omega$ forever
In the master equation formulation this result breaks down at long times

- existence of absorbing state at $n=0$
- Particle discreteness brings fluctuations, ultimately driving the system to absorbing state

Fluctuations work against deterministic effect → extinction time exponentially large

the stable (attracting) fixed point of the rate equation becomes \textit{meta-stable}

Interesting to calculate:
- quasi-stationary distribution
- extinction time statistics
Master equation

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{P}_n &= \frac{\mu}{2}[(n+2)(n+1)P_{n+2} - n(n-1)P_n] + \lambda[(n-1)P_{n-1} - nP_n] \\
\dot{P}_0 &= \mu P_2
\end{align*}
\]

annihilation

branching

A particular case of a general gain-loss master equation

\[
\frac{dP_n(t)}{dt} = \sum_{m \neq n} W_{mn} P_m(t) - W_{nm} P_n(t)
\]

\(W_{nm}\) - transition matrix

Master equations are rarely soluble analytically

\[
2A \rightarrow 0 \\
A \rightarrow 2A
\]
Existing methods of dealing with single- and multi-step birth-death systems

- Fokker-Planck (FP) approximation - good for "typical behavior", fails for extreme statistics (Gaveau, Moreau, and Toth 1996, Doering, Sargsyan, and Sander 2005)

- Eikonal approximations: in the master equation (Dykman et al. 1994), or in the PDE for the generating function (Elgart and Kamenev 2004) - significantly improve over FP, give new insight (optimal paths), but miss pre-exponents

Recent work by Kessler and Shnerb (2007) on branching-annihilation: WKB approximation etc. to quasi-stationary master equation

No general and self-contained analytical method to accurately calculate complete (incl. time-dependent) statistics, even for univariate problems

Can one go beyond the optimal path approximation?

Here comes the spectral method
Step 1: employing the generating function

Define

\[ G(x, t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} x^m P_m(t) \]

The probabilities are recovered from

\[ P_n(t) = \frac{1}{n!} \left. \frac{\partial^n G(x, t)}{\partial x^n} \right|_{x=0} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint G(x, t) \frac{dx}{x^{n+1}} \]

Master equation collapses into a single PDE

\[ \frac{\partial G(x, t)}{\partial t} = \hat{L}(x) G(x, t) \]

\[ 0 \rightarrow jA \quad P_n(t) \quad G(x, t) \]
\[ A \rightarrow kA \quad nP_n(t) \leftrightarrow \sim \partial_x G(x, t) \]
\[ 2A \rightarrow mA \quad (1/2)n(n-1)P_n(t) \quad \partial_x^2 G(x, t) \]

One boundary condition is universal

\[ G(x = 1, t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} x^m P_m(t) \bigg|_{x=1} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} P_m(t) = 1 \]

The mean extinction time

\[ <\tau_{\text{ext}}> = \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} t \hat{P}_0(t) dt}{\int_{0}^{\infty} \hat{P}_0(t) dt} = \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} t \frac{\partial G(0, t)}{\partial t} dt}{G(0, \infty) - G(0,0)} \]
Second boundary condition follows from demand that all probabilities be non-negative and normalizable to unity.

In this example it emerges at the singular point $x=-1$ of the corresponding ODE, see later.

$$G(x=-1,t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} P_{2m}(t) - \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} P_{2m+1}(t) < \infty$$
Step 2: steady state

Extinction, so we expect \[ \lim_{t \to \infty} P_0(t) = 1 \implies G_{st}(x) = 1 \]

Steady state equation \[ 0 = \frac{\mu}{2} (1 - x^2) G_{st}''(x) + \lambda x(x - 1) G_{st}'(x) \]

\( G \) bounded at \( x = -1 \) \[ \frac{d}{dx} G_{st}(x=-1) = 0 \implies G_{st}(x) = 1 \]
Step 3: expanding in eigenfunctions

\[ g(x, t) = G(x,t) - G_{st}(x) = G(x,t) - 1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_ke^{-\gamma_k t} \phi_k(x) \]

\[ (1 - x^2)\phi_k''(x) - 2\Omega x(1 - x)\phi_k'(x) + 2E_k\phi_k(x) = 0 \]

\[ E_k = \frac{2\gamma_k}{\mu} \]

boundedness of \( \phi_k \) at \( x=-1 \)

\[ \begin{cases} 
\phi_k(1) = 0 \\
2\Omega \phi_k'(-1) + E_k \phi_k(-1) = 0 
\end{cases} \]

self-adjoint form

\[ \begin{cases} 
\frac{d}{dx} \left[ e^{-2\Omega x} (1+x)^{2\Omega} \frac{d\phi_k(x)}{dx} \right] + E_k w(x) \phi_k(x) = 0 \\
w(x) = 2e^{-2\Omega x} (1+x)^{2\Omega} (1-x^2)^{-1} 
\end{cases} \]

Solving the whole set of self-adjoint ODEs with the homogenous BCs yields a complete orthogonal set \( \{\phi_k(x), E_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \) by virtue of Sturm-Liouville theory.
Step 4: projecting the initial condition and reconstructing $G(x,t)$

$$G(x,0) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} x^m P_m(t=0) = x^{n_0}$$

$$a_k = \frac{\int (x^{n_0} - 1) \varphi_k(x) w(x) dx}{\int_{-1}^{1} \varphi_k^2(x) w(x) dx}$$

$$G(x,t) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \varphi_k(x) e^{-\mu E_k t}$$

$G(x,t)$ encodes the complete statistics, including large deviations. For example,

$$<\tau_{\text{ext}}> = -\frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k \varphi_k(0)}{E_k}$$

We obtained an exact mapping between solving the original, time-dependent master equation and finding the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of a linear differential operator.
Solving for the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions

\[
(1-x^2)\varphi_k''(x) - 2\Omega x(1-x)\varphi_k'(x) + 2E_k\varphi_k(x) = 0
\]

This eqn. can be transformed into a zero-energy Schrödinger eqn.

\[
\begin{cases}
-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x, E_k) \psi_k(x) = 0 \\
\psi_k(x) = (1 + x)^\Omega e^{-\Omega x} \varphi_k(x) \\
V(x) = \frac{\Omega^2 x^2 - \Omega}{2(1 + x)^2} - \frac{E_k}{1 - x^2}
\end{cases}
\]

\[E_2, E_3, \ldots \sim O(\Omega)\]

\[E_1 \text{ exponentially small in } \Omega\] \hspace{1cm} \text{Mean extinction time exponentially large in } \Omega

\[G(x, t) \approx 1 + a_1 \varphi_1(x) e^{-\mu E_1 t} \quad \text{for } \mu t >> \Omega^{-1}\]

Highly lying states can be accurately calculated via WKB approx. (Assaf and Meerson 2006)
Ground state problem

\[(1 - x^2)\varphi_1''(x) - 2\Omega x (1 - x)\varphi_1'(x) + 2E_1\varphi_1(x) = 0\]

with homogenous BCs
\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi_1(1) &= 0 \\
\varphi_1'(-1) &\cong 0
\end{align*}
\]

We solve the ODE separately in two different regions

\[
\varphi_{bulk}(x) = 1 + \delta\varphi(x) \quad \delta\varphi \ll 1
\]

\[
\delta\varphi''(x) - \frac{2\Omega x}{1+x} \delta\varphi'(x) \cong -\frac{2E_1}{1 - x^2}
\]

\[
\varphi_{bulk}(x) = 1 - (E_1)\delta\tilde{\varphi}(x)
\]

\[
\varphi_{bl}''(x) - \frac{2\Omega x}{1+x} \varphi_{bl}'(x) \cong 0
\]

\[
\varphi_{bl}(x) = C \left[1 - \frac{2^{2\Omega}}{e^{2\Omega}} \frac{e^{2\Omega x}}{(1+x)^{2\Omega}}\right]
\]

The unknowns \(E_1\) and \(C\) are found by asymptote matching in the common region.
\[ \varphi_1(x) = \begin{cases} 
1 - 2E_1 \int_0^x \frac{e^{2\Omega s}}{(1 + s)^{2\Omega}} \, ds \int_{-1}^s \frac{e^{-2\Omega r} (1 + r)^{2\Omega}}{1 - r^2} \, dr, & 1 - x >> \frac{1}{\Omega} \\
1 - e^{2\Omega (1 - \ln 2)} \frac{e^{2\Omega x}}{(1 + x)^{2\Omega}}, & 1 - x << 1 
\end{cases} \]

\[ E_1 = \frac{\Omega^{3/2} e^{-2\Omega (1 - \ln 2)}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \]

\[ G(x, t) \approx 1 - \varphi_1(x) e^{-\mu E_1 t} \]

Indeed, for \( n_0 >> 1 \)

\[ a_1 = -1 \frac{\int_{-1}^1 \varphi_1(x)(x^{n_0} - 1)2e^{-2\Omega x} (1 + x)^{2\Omega} (1 - x^2)^{-1} \, dx}{\int_{-1}^1 \varphi_1^2(x)2e^{-2\Omega x} (1 + x)^{2\Omega} (1 - x^2)^{-1} \, dx} \approx -1 \]
Average and variance of distribution

\[
\begin{aligned}
\bar{n}(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n P_n(t) = \partial_x G(x = 1, t) \\
\sigma^2 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^2 P_n(t) - \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n P_n(t) \right)^2 = \partial_x^2 G(x=1,t) + \partial_x G(x=1,t) - \left[ \partial_x G(x=1,t) \right]^2
\end{aligned}
\]

\[
\bar{n}(t) = \Omega e^{-\mu E_1 t} \\
\sigma^2 = \left[ \frac{3}{2} \Omega + \Omega^2 \left( 1 - e^{-\mu E_1 t} \right) \right] e^{-\mu E_1 t}
\]
Complete probability distribution

\[ P_n(t) = \frac{1}{n!} \left. \frac{\partial^n G(x,t)}{\partial x^n} \right|_{x=0} = \delta_{0n} - \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n \varphi(x = 0)}{dx^n} e^{-\mu E_1 t} \]

slowly decaying (quasi-stationary) distribution

\[
\begin{align*}
P_0(t) &\approx 1 - e^{-\mu E_1 t} \\
P_{n>0}(t) &= \frac{2E_1(2\Omega)^{n-1} e^{2\Omega} \Gamma(2\Omega)}{n\Gamma(2\Omega + n)} F_1(2\Omega, n + 2\Omega, -2\Omega) e^{-\mu E_1 t}
\end{align*}
\]

Kummer confluent hypergeometric function.
(Actually, one must use the \(\Omega \gg 1\) asymptote of this result, see Assaf and Meerson 2007)

For \(|n - \Omega| \ll \Omega\),
\[ P_n^C(t) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{3\pi\Omega}} e^{-\frac{(n-\Omega)^2}{3\Omega} - \mu E_1 t} \]

A Gaussian with mean \(\Omega\) and variance \(3\Omega/2\)

\[ <\tau_{ext}> = \int_0^\infty t \frac{dP_0(t)}{dt} dt \approx (\mu E_1)^{-1} = \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{\mu \Omega^{3/2}} e^{2\Omega(1 - \ln 2)} \]
Comparison with Fokker-Planck approximation

Assume $n \gg 1$ and expand in Taylor series up to the second order in $1/\Omega$

$$\dot{P}_n = \frac{\mu}{2} [(n+2)(n+1)P_{n+2} - n(n-1)P_n] + \lambda [(n-1)P_{n-1} - nP_n]$$

$$P(n-1,t) \approx P(n,t) - \frac{\partial P(n,t)}{\partial n} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 P(n,t)}{\partial n^2}$$

$$P(n+2,t) \approx P(n,t) + 2 \frac{\partial P(n,t)}{\partial n} + 2 \frac{\partial^2 P(n,t)}{\partial n^2}$$

Kramers - Moyal expansion

$$\frac{\partial P(n,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\mu}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \left\{ -2n(\Omega - n)P(n,t) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \left[ 2n(2n + \Omega)P(n,t) \right] \right\}$$

(Zero flux) steady state probability distribution

$$P_{FP} (n,t) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{3\pi \Omega}} e^{-\frac{(n-\Omega)^2}{3\Omega}}$$

For $|n - \Omega| \ll \Omega$

$$P_{FP} (n,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3\pi \Omega}} e^{-\frac{(n-\Omega)^2}{3\Omega}}$$

$$\left| 1 - \frac{P_{FP}(n+1,t)}{P_{FP}(n,t)} \right| \approx 1 - e^{-1} \ll 1$$

ok

For $n \gg \Omega$

$$\left| \frac{P_{FP}(n+1,t)}{P_{FP}(n,t)} \right| \approx 1 - e^{-1} \ll 1$$

For $n \approx 1$ FP is inapplicable too.

FP fails in tails
our analytical solution and numerical solution of (truncated) Master Eqn. (indistinguishable)
Extinction probability $P_0(t)$

Extinction time (spectral method) $\sim \text{Exp}[\Omega(2-2\ln 2)]$

Extinction time (Fokker-Planck) $\sim \text{Exp}[\Omega [(3/2)\ln 3-1]]$

includes an exponentially large error
Different definitions related to quasi-stationarity/metastability

1. Quasi-stationary distribution = amplitude $P_{n}^{(0)}$ of long-time asymptote of the time-dependent pdf

$$P_{n>0}(t) = P_{n}^{(0)} e^{-\mu t} = -\frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n \varphi(x=0)}{dx^n} e^{-\mu t}$$

2. Stationary distribution obtained by assuming zero flux to $n=0$

Definitions 1 and 2 are different

In the branching-annihilation example def. 2 gives the same result as def. 1 in the leading order in $1/\Omega$. Sub-leading terms might be different. In the SIS problem def. 2 gives a different result already in the leading order, Nåsell 2001. It might be meaningless to pursue to a high accuracy while using def. 2.
Is spectral method generalizable to two and more species?

Generating function for two species

\[ G(x_1, x_2, t) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} x_1^m x_2^n P_{mn}(t) \]

The master equation transforms to

\[ \frac{\partial G(x,t)}{\partial t} = \hat{L}(x)G(x,t), \quad x = (x_1, x_2) \]

This parabolic PDE demands, in addition to the initial condition, a boundary condition on a closed curve (finite or infinite) in the plane \((x_1, x_2)\). This BC must follow from the boundedness and non-negativeness of the probabilities, and is yet unknown.

The eikonal approaches circumvent the need for the explicit BC.
Conclusions

• We developed a simple spectral approach for univariate birth-death processes that employs the generating function technique in conjunction with Sturm-Liouville theory.

• The spectral approach yields accurate rare-event statistics for single-step and multiple-step processes, where other methods in general fail.