Cluster Assembly and Non-Equilibrium in the Outer ICM

Douglas Rudd Institute for Advanced Study/Yale University

The Physics of the ICM Workshop Ann Arbor, MI - August 25, 2010

Collaborators: Daisuke Nagai, Laurie Shaw (Yale)

SZ Observations of outer ICM



RXCJ0232.2-4420, Plagge et al (arXiv:0911.2444)

- SZ experiments measuring electron pressure in outskirts directly and indirectly through projection
- Less sensitive to complex baryon physics operating in cluster cores.
- Electron pressure may deviate from equilibrium in two ways: turbulence/bulk motion (incomplete kinetic dissipation) and incomplete thermal equilibration

Electron Equilibration: Physical Picture



Downstream distance (time)

Total gas pressure downstream from shock given by standard jump conditions

$$T_{\rm gas} = \frac{n_e T_e + n_i T_i}{n_e + n_i}$$

Heavy ions carry bulk of kinetic energy (~m_i/m_e) through shock due to weak coupling between species (long mfp)

Two major uncertainties:

• amount of electron heating at the shock (min adiabatic compression)

 rate of temperature equilibration downstream from the shock front (min due to Coulomb collisions)

Simulations implicitly assume instant equilibration and may overestimate electron temperature

Rudd & Nagai (2009), Fox & Loeb (1997), see also Bykov et al (2008)

Numerical Scheme



Downstream distance (time)

Coulomb equilibration timescale: $t_{ei} \sim T^{3/2}/n_e \sim K^{3/2}$ $\approx 6.3 \times 10^8 \text{ yr } (T_e/10^8 \text{K})^{3/2} (n_i/10^{-5} \text{ cm}^{-3})^{-1}$

Separately track electron internal energy which is advected with the fluid (not subject to shocks) with source terms:

Simple model allows us to probe max/min effect in single simulation, unable to model shock-dependent heating

Cosmological Simulations



Rudd & Nagai (2009)

Modified the distributed Adaptive Refinement Tree (ART) code to simulate several samples of galaxy clusters using this scheme (without cooling and feedback physics)

> 16 galaxy clusters and groups from Nagai et al (2007) M₅₀₀ = 0.31-7.3×10¹⁴ h⁻¹ M⊙

Hydro resimulation of Bolshoi N-body simulation (Klypin et al 2010) 250 h⁻¹ Mpc 1024³ particles ~130 clusters M₅₀₀ > 10¹⁴ h⁻¹ M⊙

High resolution (~few kpc) in cluster core, degrading to several ~10s kpc in outskirts

Nagai '07 Sample

 T_e/T_{gas}

mass



←I2 Mpc/h→

Range of temperatures and shock morphologies leads to qualitatively different distribution of "cold" electrons

Expected mass trend broadly reproduced with some scatter due to formation history

Only self-consistent simulations can model the full distribution of accretion histories

Radial Temperature Profile



Spherically averaged electron temperature profiles reach minimum relative to mean gas temperature near shock radius. Rudd & Nagai (2009); see also Fox & Loeb (1997)

Relaxation State/Cluster Assembly $\mathbf{y}_{e}/\mathbf{y}_{gas}$ $y(R_{proj}) \sim \int T_{e} n_{e} d\ell$ T_e/T_{gas} CL101 1.00.9 0.8 Two of the most massive y_e/y_{gas} clusters in our sample have 0.6 similar mean gas temperatures $M = 7.3 \times 10^{14} \text{ h}^{-1} \text{M}_{\odot}$ $T_m = 6.8 \text{ keV}$ (~6 keV) but dramatically 0.4 10^{-1} different distribution of non- $R_{\rm proj}/r_{5000}$ equilibrium electrons CL104 1.0 Understanding effect of cluster 0.9 assembly history is critical to 0.8 y_e/y_{gas} predicting the SZ signal from 0.6 cluster populations M = 4.5x10¹⁴ h⁻¹M⊙ $T_m = 6.1 \text{ keV}$ 0.4 10^{-1} 10^{1} 10^{0}

 R_{proj}/r_{500}

Wednesday, August 25, 2010

Effect on SZ Flux



Effect is strongest for more massive clusters (up to ~6% in the projected SZ flux). Lower electron temperature in outer regions leads to lower flux when computed in projection.

Redshift Evolution



Mass scale moves to lower mass with redshift (combination of evolution in age-mass relation and entropy-mass relations)

Summary



Rudd, Shaw & Nagai (2010, in prep)

- Electron temperatures may be suppressed by up to 60% in the outskirts of massive clusters if equilibration proceeds through Coulomb collisions.
- Effect stronger in hotter and more massive clusters; projected SZ flux suppressed by ~6% at 8x10¹⁴ M⊙ at z = 0
- Effect grows to lower mass with increasing redshift due partly to evolution of mass-entropy relation
- Unfortunately unlikely to be directly constrained by observations in the near future