Right-Handed Sneutrino as the CDM

Takeo Moroi (Tokyo)

Reference:

Asaka, Ishiwata & TM, PRD 73 (2006) 051301 Asaka, Ishiwata & TM, PRD 75 (2007) 065001 Ishiwata, Kawasaki, Kohri & TM, PLB 689 (2010) 163 Ishiwata, Matsumoto & TM, arXiv:1008.3636

1. Introduction

Dark matter (DM)

 \Rightarrow Dark matter should exist, but we don't know what it is



 \Rightarrow Well-motivated candidate: The lightest superparticle

 \Rightarrow It is often assumed that thermally-produced lightest neutralino is DM

Today, I consider a DM candidate with non-thermal origin

 \Rightarrow Right-handed sneutrino $\tilde{\nu}_R$

Seesaw scenario is usually adopted for small neutrino masses [Minkowski; Yanagida; Gell-Mann, Ramond & Slansky]

- \Rightarrow Neutrino masses are Majorana-type
- ⇒ Right-handed (s)neutrinos are ultra-heavy, and are irrelevant for low-energy phenomenology

However, neutrino masses may be Dirac-type

- ⇒ Smallness of the neutrino mass is naturally explained by the (conserved) lepton-number symmetry
- $\Rightarrow \tilde{\nu}_R$ can be cold dark matter (CDM) [Asaka, Ishiwata & TM; See also Gopalakrishna, de Gouvea & Porod]

Today, I consider SUSY cosmology with:

- Dirac-type neutrino mass
- Right-handed sneutrino LSP with $m_{\tilde{\nu}} \sim O(100 \text{ GeV})$

<u>Outline</u>

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Framework
- 3. Relic Density of $\tilde{\nu}_{\rm R}\text{-}{\rm LSP}$
- 4. BBN Constraints
- 5. Implication to PAMELA Anomaly
- 6. Summary

2. Framework

Superpotential (for Dirac-type neutrino mass):

$$W = y_{\nu} \hat{\nu}_R \hat{l}_L \hat{H}_u + W_{\text{MSSM}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad m_{\nu} = y_{\nu} \langle H_u \rangle$$

Yukawa coupling constant becomes very small:

$$y_{\nu} \sin \beta = 2.8 \times 10^{-13} \times \left(\frac{m_{\nu}^2}{2.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2}\right)^{1/2}$$

In SUSY model with the Dirac-type neutrino masses

- \bullet Mass of $\tilde{\nu}_R$ is mainly from SUSY breaking effect
 - $\Rightarrow m_{\tilde{\nu}_R} \sim O(100 \text{ GeV})$ (in gravity mediation)
 - $\Rightarrow \tilde{\nu}_R$ may be the LSP
- ν_R and $\tilde{\nu}_R$ are very weakly interacting

 $\tilde{\nu}_R$ is very weakly interacting: $y_{\nu} \sim O(10^{-13})$



• If $\tilde{\nu}_R$ is the LSP, the MSSM-LSP becomes long-lived MSSM-LSP: LSP in the MSSM sector $\Gamma_{\tilde{H}_u \to \tilde{\nu}_R l_L}^{-1} \simeq 100 \text{ sec} \times \left(\frac{y_\nu}{10^{-13}}\right)^{-2} \left(\frac{\mu_H}{100 \text{ GeV}}\right)^{-1}$

• Charged (or colored) particle may be the MSSM-LSP

3. Relic Density of $\tilde{\nu}_{R}$ -LSP

 $\tilde{\nu}_R$ in the early universe

- Production rate of $\tilde{\nu}_R$ is very small (because $y_{\nu} \sim 10^{-13}$)
- $\tilde{\nu}_R$ cannot be thermalized

Possible production processes

- Scattering and decay of MSSM particles in thermal bath
- Decay of the MSSM-LSP after freeze-out
- Decay of gravitino, axino, ···

I will show that $\Omega_{\tilde{\nu}_R} = \Omega_c$ is realized in wide parameter region

Production from MSSM particles: Boltzmann equation

$$\frac{dn_{\tilde{\nu}_R}}{dt} + 3Hn_{\tilde{\nu}_R} \simeq \sum_x n_x \langle \Gamma_{x \to \tilde{\nu}_R + \dots} \rangle + \text{(scattering)}$$

 n_x : number density of parent particles



$$\Rightarrow n_{\tilde{\nu}_R} = n_{\tilde{\nu}_R}^{(\text{C.E.})} + n_{\tilde{\nu}_R}^{(\text{F.O.})}$$

 $\tilde{\nu}_R$ from the decay of MSSM particles in thermal bath

 $\tilde{\nu}_R$ production is dominated at lower temperature [Asaka, Ishiwata & TM; See also Hall, Jedamzik, March-Russell & West]

 $\Leftrightarrow \langle \Gamma_{x \to \tilde{\nu}_R + \cdots} \rangle / H$ increases with time



 \Rightarrow Relic density is insensitive to the early thermal history

Important decay processes: $\tilde{W}^0 \to \tilde{\nu}_R \nu$ and $\tilde{W}^{\pm} \to \tilde{\nu}_R l^{\pm}$ $\mathcal{L}_A = A_{\nu} H_u \tilde{L} \tilde{\nu}_R + \text{h.c.}$



Sneutrino production is enhanced with:

- Large A_{ν} -parameter
- Degenerate sneutrino masses

Relic density of $\tilde{\nu}_R$ (from MSSM particles in thermal bath)



$$m_{\tilde{\nu}_R} = 100 \text{ GeV}$$

 $m_{\tilde{W}} = 300 \text{ GeV}$
 $A_{\nu} = a_{\nu} y_{\nu} m_{\tilde{l}_L}$

 $\Rightarrow \Omega_{\tilde{\nu}_R}^{(\text{C.E.})} = \Omega_c$ is realized with a mild degeneracy

 $\tilde{\nu}_R$ production after the freeze-out of MSSM-LSP

$$\Omega_{\tilde{\nu}_R}^{(\text{F.O.})} \simeq \frac{m_{\tilde{\nu}_R}}{m_{\text{MSSM-LSP}}} \Omega_{\text{MSSM-LSP}}^{(\text{would-be})}$$

CMSSM case: $m_{\tilde{\nu}_R} = m_0$ at the GUT scale



4. BBN Constraints

The MSSM-LSP decays into $\tilde{\nu}_R + X$ with very long lifetime

- \Rightarrow Decay products dissociate light elements produced by the BBN reactions, if $\tilde{\nu}_R$ decays after BBN epoch
- ⇒ The light-element abundances may be significantly affected

Relevant processes:

- \bullet Hadrodissociation of ${\rm ^4He}$
 - $\Rightarrow {}^{4}\mathrm{He} + p \rightarrow \mathrm{D} + X$ results in the overproduction of D
- Photodissociation
- $p \leftrightarrow n$ conversion
- $\tilde{\tau}^-$ catalyzed process (if $\tilde{\tau}$ is the MSSM-LSP)

BBN constraints depend on what the MSSM-LSP is



• Lifetime: \tilde{B} -NLSP case:

$$\Gamma_{\tilde{B}\to\tilde{\nu}_R\bar{\nu}} = \frac{\beta_{\rm f}^2 g_1^2}{64\pi} \left[\frac{A_\nu v}{m_{\tilde{\nu}_L}^2 - m_{\tilde{\nu}_R}^2} \right]^2 m_{\tilde{B}}, \qquad B_{\rm had} \ll 1$$

• Lifetime: $\tilde{\tau}$ -NLSP case (with $m_{\tilde{\tau}} > m_{\tilde{\nu}_R} + m_W$):

$$\Gamma_{\tilde{\tau}\to\tilde{\nu}_R W^-} = \frac{\beta_{\rm f}^3 \sin^2 \theta_{\tilde{\tau}}}{16\pi} \left[\frac{m_{\tilde{\tau}}^2}{m_{\tilde{\nu}_L}^2 - m_{\tilde{\nu}_R}^2} \right]^2 \frac{A_{\nu}^2}{m_{\tilde{\tau}}}, \quad B_{\rm had} \sim 1$$

Lifetime of \tilde{B} / $\tilde{\tau}$

 $\Leftrightarrow {\sf BBN}$ epoch: $\sim 1-1000~{\rm sec}$



 $m_{\tilde{\nu}_L} = 1.2 m_{\text{MSSM-LSP}}$ $\sin \theta_{\tau} = 0.3$ (for the $\tilde{\tau}$ -NLSP case)

BBN constraints with $\tilde{\nu}_R$ -LSP (with $m_{\tilde{\nu}_R} = 100$ GeV, \cdots) "Typical" relic abundance of the MSSM-LSP is used



 \Rightarrow BBN constraints can be avoided (with $\Omega_{\tilde{\nu}_R} = \Omega_c$) Notice: $\Gamma_{\tilde{\nu}}$ and $\Omega_{\tilde{\nu}_R}$ are both proportional to A_{ν}^2

5. Implication to PAMELA Anomaly

PAMELA anomaly

 \Rightarrow Observed $e^+/(e^- + e^+)$ ratio is larger than expectation



Possible solutions:

- Decaying dark matter
- Annihilating dark matter
- Pulser

PAMELA anomaly may be due to the decay of $\tilde{\nu}_R$ -DM [Ishiwata, Matsumoto & TM; See also Chen & Takahashi]

- $\tilde{\nu}_R$ can be dark matter, because $\tau_{\tilde{\nu}_R} \sim O(10^{26} \text{ sec})$
- $\tilde{\nu}_R$ may decay via a very weak *R*-parity violation (RPV)

For $\tilde{\nu}_R$ -LSP case, let me introduce:

 $W_{\mathsf{RPV}} = \lambda_{ijk} L_i L_j E_k^c$

Then, $\tilde{\nu}_R$ decays (using LR mixing):

• $\tilde{\nu}_R \rightarrow l^+ l^-$

 \Rightarrow Enhancement of positron fraction

• Hadronic branching ratio is negligible

 \Rightarrow Anti-proton constraint can be avoided

Cosmic-ray fluxes with decaying $\tilde{\nu}_R$ -DM: $\tau_{\tilde{\nu}_R} \sim O(10^{26} \text{ sec})$



 $m_{\tilde{\nu}_R} = 400 \text{ GeV}$ and 1 TeV

BG-parameters and lifetime: best-fit values

 $\Rightarrow e^{\pm}$ fluxes can be consistent with the PAMELA and FERMI results if 300 GeV $\lesssim m_{\tilde{\nu}_R} \lesssim 1$ TeV

Important check point: γ -ray spectrum



[Left: Ishiwata, Matsumoto & TM; Right: Dugger, Jeltema & Profumo]

 $\Rightarrow \gamma$ -ray flux is small enough, if $m_{\tilde{\nu}_R} \lesssim 1$ TeV

6. Summary

- I have discussed the possibility of $\tilde{\nu}_R\text{-}\mathsf{LSP}$
 - \Rightarrow Implications to cosmology (dark matter, BBN, $\cdots)$
 - $\Rightarrow \tilde{\nu}_R$ is a viable candidate of dark matter

Rich phenomenology with $\tilde{\nu}_R$ -LSP:

- Baryogenesis (Affleck-Dine mechanism?)
- $\tilde{\nu}_R$ at colliders: MSSM-LSP becomes unstable
 - \Rightarrow Decay in the detector
 - [Ishiwata, Ito & TM]
 - $\Rightarrow \text{Charged-slepton trapping (if } \tilde{\tau} \text{ is the MSSM-LSP})$ [Buchmuller et al.; Feng & Smith; Asai, Hamaguchi & Shirai]

Back Up

⁷Li problem:

SBBN value of $^7\mathrm{Li}$ abundance may have an inconsistency with observation

Observational constraints on ⁷Li abundance

- Low ⁷Li : $\log_{10}(n_{^7\text{Li}}/n_{\text{H}})_{\text{p}} = -9.90 \pm 0.09 \ (+0.35)$ [Bonifacio et al.]
- High ⁷Li : $\log_{10}(n_{^7\text{Li}}/n_{\text{H}})_{\text{p}} = -9.63 \pm 0.06 \ (+0.35)$ [Melendez et al.]
- +0.35: systematic error
 - This error may be due to depletion in stars or diffusion
 - Without this error, the SBBN is inconsistent with observation at 4- σ level (with the low value)

With no systematic error in ⁷Li abundance



 \Rightarrow Allowed region shows up without systematic error