Nonthermal dark matter and its observational implications

Kazunori Nakayama

High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK)

Nonthermal Cosmological Histories of the Universe Workshop@Michigan Univ. (2010/10/18)

Contents

- PAMELA/Fermi from DM annihilation
- CMB constraints on DM annihilation
- Inflationary gravitational waves as a probe of non-thermal history of the Universe

Energy content of the Universe after WMAP



What is dark matter ?
 SUSY Neutralino? Gravitino?
 Axion? KK particle? or ...

Evidence of DM?

Excess in cosmic-ray positron & electron flux







Fermi



Cosmic-rays from DM annihilation



$$\chi \chi \to \mu^+ \mu^- : (a) \ m_{\chi} = 300 \text{GeV}, \langle \sigma v \rangle = 2.0 \times 10^{-24} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$$

(b) $m_{\chi} = 2 \text{TeV}, \langle \sigma v \rangle = 5.0 \times 10^{-23} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$

J.Hisano, M.Kawasaki, K.Kohri, T.Moroi and KN (2009)

PAMELA & Fermi $\longrightarrow \langle \sigma v \rangle \sim 10^{-23} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$ Thermal relic DM $\longrightarrow \langle \sigma v \rangle \sim 3 \times 10^{-26} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$



Constraints from gamma, neutrino, etc.

Fermi diffuse gamma



SK upward muon



Hisano, Kawasaki, Kohri, KN (08)

Others

- Anti protons
- Gamma from dwarf galaxy
- Diffuse neutrino
- Synchrotron radiation

Constraints on DM annihilation cross section





Dark matter annihilation in the Galaxy now

> Positron, Gamma-ray, Neutrinos,...

PRESENT 13.7 Billion Years after the Big Bang

Big Bang

End of Inflation

Formation of D & HE

CMB Spectrum Fixed

Radiation = Matter

Energy

CMB

Last Scattering

TEMP.

019

10%

107K

20,000 K

3000

TIME

0

10⁻³² sec

100 sec

1 month

10,000 yrs

380,000 yrs

Dark matter annihilation in the early Universe

Effects on Big-Bang Nucleosynthesis & CMB anisotropy

Dark matter annihilation in the Galaxy now

Positron, Gamma-ray, Neutrinos,...

PRESENT 13.7 Billion Years after the Big Bang

Big Bang

and of Inflation

Formation of D & HE

CMB Spectrum Fixed

Radiation = Matter

Energy

CMB Last Scattering TEMP

90

019

10%

10%

20,000 K

TIME

0-32 980

100 580

1 month

380,000 yrs

Electron+proton plasma

z ~ 1000

Neutral hydrogen



Last scattering surface

CMB photon

Padmanabhan, Finkbeiner(2005) Belikov, Hooper(2009) S.Galli et al., (2009), G.Huesti et al. (2009) T.Slatyer et al. (2009)

Electron+proton plasma

z ~ 1000

Neutral hydrogen CMB photon



Last scattering surface

Padmanabhan, Finkbeiner(2005) Belikov, Hooper(2009) S.Galli et al., (2009), G.Huesti et al. (2009) T.Slatyer et al. (2009)

Electron+proton plasma

z ~ 1000

Neutral hydrogen CMB photon

ch eph DM

Last scattering surface

Padmanabhan, Finkbeiner(2005) Belikov, Hooper(2009) S.Galli et al., (2009), G.Huesti et al. (2009) T.Slatyer et al. (2009)

Electron+proton plasma

z ~ 1000

Neutral hydrogen



 DM

Padmanabhan, Finkbeiner(2005) Belikov, Hooper(2009) S.Galli et al., (2009), G.Huesti et al. (2009) T.Slatyer et al. (2009)



(b) Electron injection

- Inverse Compton scatter
- Coulomb collision
- Collision with H
- Ionization of H
- Excitation of H

 $e^{-} + \gamma_{BG} \rightarrow e^{-} + \gamma$ $e^{-} + e^{-}_{BG} \rightarrow e^{-} + e^{-}$ $e^{-} + H \rightarrow e^{-} + H$ $e^{-} + H \rightarrow 2e^{-} + p$ $e^{-} + H \rightarrow e^{-} + H^{*}$

Dominant energy loss process of high energy electron is Inverse-Compton scattering

 \blacksquare Up-scattered CMB has energy $~E\sim\gamma_e^2 E_{\rm CMB}$

lonization, heating, etc...

Modify RECFAST code to include these effects

Ionization fraction of H



DM annihilation effect increases ionization fraction



Large optical depth



T.Kanzaki, M.Kawasaki and KN, arXiv:0907.3985

$\chi\chi \to e^+e^-$



log₁₀[m/GeV]

M.Kawasaki, KN and T.Sekiguchi, in prep.

2010年10月17日日曜日

KN, Ph.D Thesis



m [GeV]

m [GeV]

Summary of constraints on DM annihilation cross section

Summary of constraints on DM annihilation cross section



m [GeV]

m [GeV]

KN, Ph.D Thesis

Probing (non)thermal history of the Universe

Gravitational Wave











Gravitational waves from inflation

Metric perturbation (tensor part)

$$ds^{2} = a^{2}(t)[-d\tau^{2} + (\delta_{ij} + 2h_{ij})dx^{i}dx^{j}]$$

$$h_{ij} = \frac{1}{M_P} \sum_{\lambda=+,-} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} h_k^{\lambda}(t) e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}} e_{ij}^{\lambda}$$

Same as massless field

Quantization $\langle h_k^{\lambda} h_{k'}^{\lambda'} \rangle = \frac{H_{\inf}^2}{2k^3} \delta^3 (k - k') \delta^{\lambda\lambda'}$

Dimensionless power spectrum

$$\Delta_h^2(k) = 64\pi G\left(\frac{H_{\text{inf}}}{2\pi}\right)$$

Evolution of GW

$$\ddot{h}_{k}^{\lambda} + 3H\dot{h}_{k}^{\lambda} + \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}}h_{k}^{\lambda} = 0$$
Outside the horizon : $h_{k}^{\lambda} = \text{const.}$
In the horizon : $h_{k}^{\lambda} \propto a^{-1}$

$$\frac{d\rho_{\text{gw}}}{d\ln k} = \sum_{\lambda} \frac{1}{32\pi G} k^{2} |h_{k}^{\lambda}|^{2} \left[\frac{a_{\text{in}}(k)}{a_{0}} \right]^{2} \propto k^{-4} \text{ for } k < k_{\text{eq}} \\ \propto k^{-2} \text{ for } k > k_{\text{eq}} \\ \propto k^{-2} \text{ for } k > k_{\text{eq}}$$

$$\Omega_{\text{gw}}(k) = \frac{1}{\rho_{c}} \frac{d\rho_{\text{gw}}}{d\ln k} \propto k^{-2} \text{ for } k < k_{\text{eq}} \\ \propto \text{ const for } k > k_{\text{eq}}$$
Rule : $\Omega_{\text{gw}}(k) \propto k^{-2}$ for horizon entry in MD era
 $\Omega_{\text{gw}}(k) \propto \text{const for horizon entry in RD era}$

Spectrum of GWB

$T_{\rm R} = 10^7 {\rm GeV}$



Thermal history may be modified due to the moduli field.



 ϕ : inflaton σ : moduli (Source of nonthermal DM)

intermediate M.D. epoch due to moduli domination

Imprints on the GWB spectrum

Moduli-dominant case

modulation on the GW spectrum



 $T_{\sigma} = 10 \text{GeV}$ $T_R = 10^7 \text{GeV}$

KN, J. Yokoyama (2009)

DECIGO

~2027 ?

Moduli-dominant case

modulation on the GW spectrum



 $T_{\sigma} = 10 \text{GeV}$ $T_R = 10^7 \text{GeV}$

KN, J. Yokoyama (2009)

DECIGO

~2027 ?

Moduli-dominant case

modulation on the GW spectrum



 $T_{\sigma} = 10 \text{GeV}$ $T_{R} = 10^{7} \text{GeV}$

KN, J. Yokoyama (2009)

~2027 ?

Summary

- PAMELA/Fermi may indicate (nonthermal) DM with large cross section.
- Stringent constraint on the annihilation cross section from WMAP.
- (Non)thermal history of the Universe may be confirmed at future space laser interferometers.

Back-up Slides

GW spectrum at present

$$\rho_{\rm GW}(k) \sim \frac{1}{G} h_k^2 (k/a)^2 \qquad k/a \sim H \text{ at horizon entry}$$

$$\rho_{\rm tot} \sim \frac{1}{G} H^2$$

$$\Omega_{\rm GW}(k) = \frac{\rho_{\rm GW}(k)}{\rho_{\rm tot}} \sim \text{const. at horizon entry}$$

After horizon entry, $\Omega_{\rm GW}(k) \propto {\rm const.~in~RD}$ $\Omega_{\rm GW}(k) \propto a^{-1} {\rm in~MD}$ $(a(k)/a_0 \propto k^{-2})$



Astrophysical foreground (I) White Dwarf binary

<section-header><section-header><text><text>

Cannot be removed.



Farmer and Phinney (03)

(2) Population III Stars

Collapse of first stars



May hide inflationary GW

But SFR at early epoch is uncertain

Duty cycle may not be so large



Can be removed.

Suwa, Takiwaki, Kotake, Sato (06)



KN, Saito, Suwa, Yokoyama(2008)

BBN constraints on DM annihilation cross section



J.Hisano, M.Kawasaki, K.Kohri, T.Moroi and KN (2009)

The case of Wino DM



200GeV Wino

Solve Lithium Problem?

J.Hisano, M.Kawasaki, K.Kohri and KN (2008)

~200GeV Wino fits the PAMELA data (not Fermi)



J.Hisano, M.Kawasaki, K.Kohri and KN (2008)

Anti-Protons may be safe : Grajek,Kane,Phalen,Pierce,Watson(2008) G.Kane, R.Lu, S.Watson (2009)