

Semiclassical strings in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and AdS/CFT

some related papers:

J. A. Minahan, A. Tirziu and A. A. Tseytlin, “ $1/J$ corrections to semiclassical AdS/CFT states from quantum Landau-Lifshitz model,” hep-th/0509071;

“ $1/J^2$ corrections to BMN energies from the quantum long range Landau-Lifshitz model,” hep-th/0510080.

N. Beisert and A. A. Tseytlin, “On quantum corrections to spinning strings and Bethe equations,” hep-th/0509084

AdS/CFT

$\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM at $N = \infty$ dual to

free type IIB superstrings in $AdS_5 \times S^5$

Parameters:

$\lambda = g_{YM}^2 N$ related to string tension

$$2\pi T = \frac{R^2}{\alpha'} = \sqrt{\lambda}$$

$$g_s = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi N} \rightarrow 0$$

One implication of duality:

string energies = dimensions of gauge-invariant operators

$$E(\sqrt{\lambda}, J, m, \dots) = \Delta(\lambda, J, m, \dots)$$

J - global charges of $SO(2, 4) \times SO(6)$:

spins S_1, S_2 ; J_1, J_2, J_3

m - extra quantum numbers like winding numbers, number of folds, oscillation numbers, ...

Operators: $\text{Tr}(\prod_i \Phi_i^{J_i} D_+^{S_1} D_*^{S_2} \dots F_{mn} \dots \Psi \dots)$

Solve SYM/string theory \rightarrow

compute $E = \Delta$ for **any** λ (and J, m)

Solve non-trivial max. susy 4-d CFT = string in curved R-R background

Remarkable well-defined problem of mathematical physics

hope to learn more about less susy theories, e.g., role of integrability and string picture in perturbative / large energy QCD

Perturbative expansions are **opposite**:

$\lambda \gg 1$ in perturbative string theory

$\lambda \ll 1$ in perturbative planar gauge theory

“Constructive” approach:

use perturbative results on both sides and other properties (integrability,...) as guides to exact answers (Bethe ansatz,...)

Recent progress:

“semiclassical” states with **large quantum numbers** ($J \gg 1$)

dual to “long” gauge operators:

same dependence on J with coefficients = **interpolating functions** of λ

connection to spectrum of integrable spin chains

– anomalous dimensions on gauge side

Semiclassical strings in AdS : oscillating, rotating, etc:

$$E = N + \dots, \text{ or } E = S + \dots \quad (\text{de Vega, Sanchez, 1994})$$

while $E \sim \sqrt{N}$ in flat space

Generic long quantum rotating/pulsating string in $AdS_5 \times S^5$

$$E = f(\lambda)J + \dots, \quad J \gg 1$$

dual to long operators like $\text{Tr}(\bar{\Phi}\Phi\dots)$

or

$$E = S + f(\lambda) \ln S + \dots, \quad S \gg 1$$

dual to $\text{Tr}(\Phi D^S \Phi)$

in both cases:

$$f(\lambda \gg 1) = a_1 \sqrt{\lambda} + a_2 + \frac{a_3}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \dots$$

$$f(\lambda \ll 1) = k_1 \lambda + k_2 \lambda^2 + \dots$$

Generic pattern: **non-trivial “interpolating” functions of λ**

Other examples:

“ $q - \bar{q}$ ” potential (conformal theory !):

$$V(r) = \frac{f(\lambda)}{r}$$

$$f(\lambda \gg 1) = c_1 \sqrt{\lambda} + c_2 + \dots, \quad c_1 = -\frac{4\pi^2}{[\Gamma(1/4)]^4}$$

SYM entropy:

$$S = \frac{2\pi^2}{3} f(\lambda) N^2 T^3 V_3$$

$$f(\lambda \ll 1) = 1 - \frac{3}{2\pi^2} \lambda + \frac{3+\sqrt{2}}{\pi^2} \sqrt{\lambda} + \dots$$

$$f(\lambda \gg 1) = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{45}{32} \zeta(3) \frac{1}{(\sqrt{\lambda})^3} + \dots$$

General properties of $f(\lambda)$?

Sign-alternating series with finite radius of convergence? ('t Hooft, large N)

Indicative example:

folded string rotating at the center of AdS (de Vega, Egusquiza, 1996)

$$\Delta = S + f(\lambda) \ln S + \dots$$

$$f(\lambda \gg 1) = a_1 \sqrt{\lambda} + a_2 + \frac{a_3}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \dots$$

dual to $\text{Tr}(F_{+m} D_+^{S-4} F_{+m}) + \dots$, $S \gg 1$

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{\pi} \quad (\text{Gubser, Klebanov, Polyakov (2002)})$$

$$a_2 = -\frac{3}{\pi} \ln 2 \quad (\text{Frolov, A.T. (2002)})$$

$$f(\lambda \ll 1) = k_1 \lambda + k_2 \lambda^2 + \dots$$

$$k_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi^2}, \quad k_2 = -\frac{1}{96\pi^2}, \quad k_3 = \frac{11}{23040\pi^2}, \dots$$

(perturbative QCD/SYM)

Approximate Pade interpolation (Lipatov et al, 2004):

$$f(\lambda) \approx \frac{12}{\pi^2} \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{\lambda}{12}} - 1 \right)$$

Branch cut from $(-\infty, -12)$

more likely hypergeometric function e.g. ${}_2F_1(a, b, c, -\frac{\lambda}{\pi^2}), \dots$

Semiclassical strings in AdS_5

spiky strings (Kruczenski, 2004):

$$\Delta = S + m f(\lambda) \ln \frac{S}{m} + \dots$$

dual to $\text{Tr}(D_+^{s_1} F D_+^{s_2} F \dots D_+^{s_m} F)$, $S = s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_m$

Smooth strings without folds/spikes:

dual to operators without covariant derivatives

$$\text{Tr}(F_{n_1 k_1} F_{n_2 k_2} \dots F_{n_L k_L})$$

1-loop closed sector in YM if F_{nk} is selfdual

1-loop dilatation operator: Hamiltonian of

antiferromagnetic XXX_1 ($s = -1, 0, 1$) spin chain (Heise, Ferreti, Zarembo, 2005)

$$SO(4): (S_1, S_2), \quad S_L = S_1 + S_2, \quad S_R = S_1 - S_2$$

Antiferromagnetic state: $S_L = 0$

Ferromagnetic state: $S_L = S, \quad S_R = 0$

Anomalous dimension:

$$\Delta = f(\lambda)S = S + c_1 \lambda S + \dots, \quad S \gg 1$$

Dual to which strings?

Circular string rotating in two orthogonal planes:

$$S_1 = S_2 = S/2 \quad (\text{Frolov, A.T., 2003})$$

$$E = S + (\lambda S)^{1/3} + \dots$$

1-loop string correction – linear in S in the region of stability

(Park, Tirziu, A.T., 2005)

$$E = a_0 S + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} a_1 S + \dots, \quad S \gg 1$$

Supports identification with ferromagnetic state on gauge side

Reducing spin– pulsations in radial direction of AdS:

antiferromagnetic (spin 0) state corresponds to string pulsating in S^3 part of AdS_5

Special classes of semiclassical string states in S^5

strings moving in S^5 – operators built of SYM scalars Φ_i

BPS: pointlike string along big circle of S^5

dual to $\text{Tr} \Phi^J$: $E = \Delta = J$ (protected by susy)

near-BPS: **small strings** with large c.o.m. momentum J

dual to near-BPS (Berenstein-Maldacena-Nastase) operators $\text{Tr} (\Phi^J \dots)$

energies/dimensions match to leading order in $1/J$

to all orders in $\tilde{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda}{J^2}$

large strings which are “locally BPS”:

“Fast” strings = multi-spin strings fast-rotating in S^5

nearly-null world surface (cf. ray of BPS geodesics – as if tension=0)

$$E_{class} = \sqrt{\lambda} \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{J}) = J + a_0 \frac{\lambda}{J} + b_0 \frac{\lambda^2}{J^3} + \dots$$

$$= J(1 + a_0 \tilde{\lambda} + b_0 \tilde{\lambda}^2 + \dots)$$

$$\lambda \gg 1, \quad J \equiv \sqrt{\lambda} \mathcal{J} \gg 1,$$

$$\tilde{\lambda} \equiv \frac{1}{\mathcal{J}^2} = \frac{\lambda}{J^2} = \text{fixed}$$

Dual to “locally-BPS” long operators, e.g.

$$\text{Tr}(\Phi_1^{J_1} \Phi_2^{J_2} + \dots) \approx \text{Tr}(n_a \Phi_a)^J$$

\approx special low-energy states of spin chain for dilatation operator

Limits:

BMN limit:

$$J_1 \gg 1, \quad J_2 = \text{finite:}$$

“short” strings with c.o.m. along S^5 geodesic

$$\text{dual to } \text{Tr}([\Phi_1 \dots \Phi_1] \Phi_2 [\Phi_1 \dots \Phi_1] \Phi_2 \dots)$$

with J_2 “impurities”

“Thermodynamic” or “multi-spin” limit:

$$J_1 \gg 1, \quad J_2 \gg 1, \quad \frac{J_1}{J_2} = \text{finite}$$

(Frolov, A.T., 2003)

Long fast strings dual to long “spin-wave” operators

$$\text{Tr}([\Phi_1 \dots \Phi_1] [\Phi_2 \dots \Phi_2] [\Phi_1 \dots \Phi_1] [\Phi_2 \dots \Phi_2] \dots)$$

planar 1-loop dilatation operator of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

= Hamiltonian of ferromagnetic Heisenberg $XXX_{1/2}$ spin chain

$$H_1 = \frac{\lambda}{(4\pi)^2} \sum_{l=1}^J (I - \vec{\sigma}_l \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{l+1})$$

$$E = J + \lambda E_1 + ..$$

Spectrum (long length J):

$E_1 = 0$: ferromagnetic vacuum – BPS operator (point-like string)

$E_1 \sim \frac{1}{J^2}$: magnons – BMN states (short strings)

$E_1 \sim \frac{1}{J}$: low-energy spin waves (fast long strings)

$E_1 \sim J$: anti-ferromagnetic state and near-by spinons (“slow” strings)

Higher orders (Beisert, Kristjansen, Staudacher, 2003; Beisert, 2004):

$$H_2 = \frac{\lambda^2}{(4\pi)^4} \sum_{l=1}^J (-3 + 4\vec{\sigma}_l \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{l+1} - \vec{\sigma}_l \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{l+2})$$

H_3 contains $\vec{\sigma}_l \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{l+3}$ and also $(\vec{\sigma}_l \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{l+1})(\vec{\sigma}_{l+2} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{l+3})$, etc.

“long-range” ferromagnetic spin chain

[H = effective Hamiltonian for Hubbard model:

Rej, Serban, Staudacher, 2005]

Known structure of E and Δ

String side: classical + quantum $\alpha' \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$ corrections

first large λ or large J at fixed $\tilde{\lambda}$, then expanded in $\tilde{\lambda}$

$$E = J \left[1 + \tilde{\lambda} \left(a_0 + \frac{a_1}{J} + \frac{a_2}{J^2} + \dots \right) + \tilde{\lambda}^2 \left(b_0 + \frac{b_1}{J} + \frac{b_2}{J^2} + \dots \right) + \tilde{\lambda}^3 (c_0 + \dots) + \dots \right]$$

perturbative SYM: first small λ , then expand in large J

$$\Delta = J + \lambda \left(\frac{d_1}{J} + \frac{d_2}{J^2} + \dots \right) + \lambda^2 \left(\frac{e_1}{J^3} + \frac{e_2}{J^4} + \dots \right) + \lambda^3 \left(\frac{h_1}{J^5} + \dots \right) + \dots$$

two expansions seem to have same structure!

apparent existence of thermodynamic scaling limit on gauge side –

non-trivial consequence of susy

Moreover, leading coefficients happen to match precisely

(Frolov, A.T., 2003; Beisert, Minahan, Staudacher, Zarembo, 2003)

$$a_0 = d_1, \quad b_0 = e_1, \quad a_1 = d_2, \quad b_1 = e_2$$

e.g., 1-loop string coeff. = $1/J$ (finite size) correction on spin chain side

(Beisert, A.T., Zarembo, 2005)

But $c_0 \neq h_1$?!

general pattern should not be exact matching but rather weak to strong coupling interpolation

Indeed, the limits are **different**

string side :

first $\lambda \gg 1$ at fixed $\tilde{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda}{J^2}$, then expand in $\tilde{\lambda}$

gauge side :

first $\lambda \ll 1$ at fixed J , then expand in $1/J$

Resolution of “3-loop discrepancy”:

Quantum string expansion near fast or BMN strings

contains “non-analytic” terms with explicit factors of $\sqrt{\lambda}$

(Beisert, A.T., 2005)

matching to perturbative gauge theory expansion beyond λ^2 order

only in a proper limit

$$E = J \left[1 + \tilde{\lambda}(a_0 + \dots) + \tilde{\lambda}^2(b_0 + \dots) + \tilde{\lambda}^3(f(\lambda) + \dots) + \dots \right]$$

interpolating function

$$f(\lambda) = c_0 + \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \dots,$$

$$f(\lambda \gg 1) = c_0 \neq f(\lambda \ll 1) = h_1$$

Similarly for “M-impurity” BMN states:

was expected

$$E(M) = J - M + \sum_{j=1}^M \sqrt{1 + \tilde{\lambda} n_j^2} + \frac{F_1(\tilde{\lambda}, n_i)}{J} + \frac{F_2(\tilde{\lambda}, n_i)}{J^2} + \dots$$

with F_i polynomial in $\tilde{\lambda}$

but in fact they contain $\sqrt{\tilde{\lambda}}$ or

$$F_1(\tilde{\lambda}, n_i) = \tilde{\lambda} q_1(n_i) + \tilde{\lambda}^2 q_2(n_i) + \tilde{\lambda}^3 f(\lambda) q_3(n_i) + \dots$$

$$f(\lambda \gg 1) \neq f(\lambda \ll 1)$$

(Beisert, A.T.; Minahan, Tirziu, A.T., 2005)

How to compute “interpolating functions”?

Structure of underlying Bethe ansatz?

Superstring world-sheet S-matrix?

Effective field theory approach:

How to explain/understand leading-order matching between string and gauge energies, states, higher conserved charges ?

two microscopically consistent theories

– spin chain and superstring –

approximated by low-energy 2d effective actions

lead to non-relativistic “Landau-Lifshitz” 2d action

describing spin chain and string world-sheet d.o.f.

works not only classically but also at the quantum level

supports of integrability of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring at the quantum level

reveals “spin chain” structure of quantum string

$\lambda \gg 1$ to $\lambda \ll 1$ interpolation between “string” and “gauge” effective actions and corresponding “spin chains”

Coherent-state action for low-energy excitations of spin chain

(determined by $H =$ dilatation operator)

and “fast-string” limit of string action

\vec{n} – transverse position of string or spin coherent state ($\vec{n}^2 = 1$)

Continuum limit:

Classical **Landau-Lifshitz** equations of motion

$$\partial_t n_i = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\lambda} \epsilon_{ijk} n_j \partial_\sigma^2 n_k$$

describing low-energy part of the spectrum with energies

$$\sim J \tilde{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda}{J}$$

(lowest order in quantum $1/J$ expansion)

Integrable system: Lax pair, finite gap solution, etc.

Beyond $\tilde{\lambda}^2$ order: weak-strong coupling interpolation

effective actions from gauge-theory spin chain and string theory:

$$S = J \int dt \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\sigma}{2\pi} L$$

$$L = \vec{C}(n) \cdot \partial_t \vec{n} - \frac{1}{4} \vec{n} \left(\sqrt{1 - \tilde{\lambda} \partial_\sigma^2} - 1 \right) \vec{n} - \frac{3}{128} \tilde{\lambda}^2 (\partial_\sigma \vec{n})^4 \\ - \frac{\tilde{\lambda}^3}{64} \left[-\frac{7}{4} (\partial_\sigma \vec{n})^2 (\partial_\sigma^2 \vec{n})^2 + b(\lambda) (\partial_\sigma \vec{n} \partial_\sigma^2 \vec{n})^2 + c(\lambda) (\partial_\sigma \vec{n})^6 \right] + \dots$$

quadratic part is exact: reproduces the BMN dispersion relation for small (“magnon”) fluctuations near the BPS vacuum $\vec{n} = (0, 0, 1)$.

Orders $\tilde{\lambda}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}^2$:

agreement between two effective actions \rightarrow explains observed agreement of energies of states, integrable structures, etc.

finite-size $1/J$ corrections on spin chain side: non-trivial

quantum $\alpha' \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$ corrections on superstring side: hard

Quantizing LL action provides a short-cut to exact results

Effective field theory philosophy: underlying microscopic UV finite

theories (spin chain and string) dictate particular choice of regularization of quantum LL theory

extra modes (bosons outside S^3 LL sector and fermions) are “heavy” – can be integrated out – play the role of UV regulators

Both spin chain and string theory lead to the same $1/J$ quantum-corrected effective field theory at orders λ and λ^2

(Minahan, Tirziu, A.T, 2005)

“3-loop” coefficients in the string and gauge theory expressions

$$b_s = -\frac{25}{2}, \quad c_s = \frac{13}{16},$$
$$b_g = -\frac{23}{2}, \quad c_g = \frac{12}{16}$$

Quantum string effective action: include $\alpha' \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$ corrections:

$$b(\lambda) = b_s + \frac{p_1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \dots, \quad c(\lambda) = c_s + \frac{q_1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \dots, \quad \lambda \gg 1,$$

for small λ should approach gauge values

agrees with the structure of non-analytic terms in 1-loop string correction:

$$J \times \tilde{\lambda}^3 \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} = \frac{\lambda^{5/2}}{J^5}$$

All-order gauge theory Bethe ansatz

(Beisert,Dippel,Staudacher, 2004)

asymptotic: up to λ^J

$$e^{ip_i J} = \prod_{j \neq i}^M \frac{u_i - u_j + i}{u_i - u_j - i},$$

$$u_i = \frac{1}{2} \cot \frac{p_i}{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \sin^2 \frac{p_i}{2}}$$

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^M \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \sin^2 \frac{p_i}{2}} - 1 \right)$$

p_i = momenta of magnons that may form bound states

To match quantum string need extra S-matrix phase factor:

$e^{i\phi(\lambda, p_i)}$ containing interpolating functions of coupling λ

with $\phi(\lambda \rightarrow 0, p_i) \rightarrow 0$

“string Bethe ansatz” (Arutyunov, Frolov, Staudacher, 2004)

Antiferromagnetic end of the spectrum

all spin-chain states should have string counterparts

dimensions of gauge operators bound from above

by antiferromagnetic ($J_1 = J_2$) state of XXX chain

Similar bound should appear in quantum string theory

corresponding string state? Can be seen in semiclassical approximation? [work in progress with Tirziu]

Hint from strong-coupling extrapolation of AF state of BDS chain:

anom. dim. of AF state operator $\text{Tr}(\Phi_1^{J/2} \Phi_2^{J/2})$ (Zarembo, 2005)

$$\Delta = f(\lambda) J$$

$$f(\lambda) = 1 + \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{k} \frac{\mathbf{J}_0\left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}k}{2\pi}\right) \mathbf{J}_1\left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}k}{2\pi}\right)}{e^k + 1}$$

small λ :

$$f(\lambda \ll 1) = 1 + 4 \ln 2 \frac{\lambda}{16\pi^2} - 9\zeta(3) \left(\frac{\lambda}{16\pi^2}\right)^2 + 75\zeta(5) \left(\frac{\lambda}{16\pi^2}\right)^3 + \dots$$

large λ extrapolation:

$$f(\lambda \gg 1) = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi^2} + \dots$$

suggests that $E \sim \sqrt{\lambda} J$ for dual string state

Simplest circular rotating string 2-spin solution (Frolov, A.T., 2003)

on S^3 part of S^5 : $|\mathbf{X}_1|^2 + |\mathbf{X}_2|^2 = 1$

$$\mathbf{X}_1 = a e^{i(w\tau + m\sigma)}, \quad \mathbf{X}_2 = a e^{i(w\tau - m\sigma)}, \quad a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$J = \sqrt{\lambda} w$ and $J_1 = J_2 = J/2$, $m = \text{integer winding}$

$$E = \sqrt{\lambda} \sqrt{w^2 + m^2}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad E = \sqrt{J^2 + \lambda m^2}$$

string in flat space: $m = w$, $a = \text{arbitrary}$

string on a sphere: can be static – $w = 0$, wrapped on circle (unstable)

Semiclassical expansion:

$\lambda \gg 1$, $w, m = \text{fixed}$

Fast string limit: $w \gg m$: $\tilde{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda}{J^2} \ll 1$

$$E = J + \frac{\lambda m^2}{2J} + O(\lambda^2) = J \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\lambda} m^2 + O(\tilde{\lambda}^2) \right]$$

matched precisely to gauge theory state

Slow string limit: $w \ll m$, i.e. $J \ll \sqrt{\lambda} m$

$$E = \sqrt{\lambda} m + \dots$$

Very low string limit: $J = m \gg 1$, $w = \frac{m}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \ll 1$

$$E = J\sqrt{\lambda + 1} = \sqrt{\lambda}J + \dots$$

should correspond to antiferromagnetic state spin state

Quantum string corrections suppressed by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$

but subleading terms in classical E may receive corrections from higher loop orders

Some conclusions

- Matching between gauge and string states near and far from BPS limit
- Presence of non-analytic in λ terms in quantum string semiclassical expansion explains “3-loop” disagreement
- Implies presence of non-trivial λ -dependent phase in string S-matrix or in string Bethe ansatz
- Landau-Lifshitz action: low-energy effective action for relevant string/spin chain modes
- Quantization of Landau-Lifshitz action: effective method of finding finite size corrections on spin chain side and α' quantum corrections on string side
- Suggests integrability extends to quantum level: local action that reproduces results of Bethe ansatz based on 2-body S-matrix