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A general expression for the solid angle subtended by a circular disk is derived in terms of complete elliptic integrals of the first and third kind. The elliptic integral of the third kind is reduced in terms of Heuman's lambda function, which has been tabulated. By transformation of the double integral \( \Omega = \int \int \sin \theta d \phi dq \) into a single line integral, the solid angle can be conveniently determined. Since the solution involves only tabulated functions, it is well suited for desk calculation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Several papers\(^1\)-\(^3\) have been published in which expressions for the solid angle subtended by a circular disk were formulated in terms of an infinite series of azimuthally independent spherical harmonics. The method described here provides an exact solution in terms of elliptic integrals. Beginning with the fundamental equation for the solid angle, namely, \( \Omega = \int n \cdot ds \) the familiar space polar coordinate form \( \Omega = \int \int \sin \theta d \theta dq \) is obtained. After performing a first integral over \( \theta \), we are left with a more difficult line integral. To evaluate this integral, the variables \( \phi \) and \( \theta \) are written in terms of a new variable, \( \gamma \) (see Figs. 1 and 2). The resulting integrals turn out to be the complete elliptic integrals of the first and third kinds. Finally, by writing the elliptic integral of the third kind in terms of Heuman's lambda function \( \Lambda_\phi \), the desired expression for the solid angle is obtained.

II. DERIVATION OF SOLID ANGLE

The basic equation for the solid angle may be written as follows:

\[
\Omega = \int \int \frac{n \cdot ds}{R^2},
\]  

(1)

where \( n \cdot ds \) is the area of the projection of \( ds \) onto the plane perpendicular to \( R \). Referring to Fig. 1 (or Fig. 2), we note that \( n \cdot ds \) is equal to \( ds \cos \theta \). Since \( ds = \rho dq d \phi \), (1) becomes

\[
\Omega = \int \int \frac{ds \cos \theta}{R^2} = \int \int \rho dq d \phi \cos \theta.
\]

(2)

Making use of the fact that \( \rho = L \tan \theta \), we obtain for \( \rho dq \)

\[
\rho dq = (L \tan \theta) \left( \frac{L dq}{\cos \theta} \right) = L^2 \frac{d \theta}{\cos \theta}.
\]

Inserting the expression for \( \rho dq \) into (2) we have

\[
\Omega = \int \int \frac{L^2 \sin \theta}{R^2} d \phi dq.
\]

(3)

Noting that \( (L/R) = \cos \theta \), (3) becomes

\[
\Omega = \int \int \sin \theta d \phi dq.
\]

(4)

which is the desired expression for \( \Omega \) in space polar coordinates. The task henceforth will be to evaluate (4). It will be convenient to compute the solid angle for one side of the circular disk and then double the final answer. This is permitted since the visible boundary is symmetrical with respect to each half-plane and point \( P \). To clarify the derivation it will be desirable to separate the solutions according to whether point \( P \) is directly above or over periphery \( (r_0 \leq r_m) \), or is at a point outside disk boundary \( (r_0 > r_m) \), with \( r_m = \) disk radius.
Case I: \( r_0 \leq r_m \) (see Fig. 1)

Starting with (4) we can write

\[
\frac{\Omega}{2} = \int_{\varphi_s}^{\beta_{\text{max}}} \sin \vartheta d\vartheta d\beta
\]

(5)

where \( \varphi_s \) is the half-boundary as shown in Figs. 1 and 2. Putting in the limits for \( \vartheta \) and \( \beta \) and performing the \( \vartheta \) integration we obtain

\[
\frac{\Omega}{2} = \int_{0}^{\beta_{\text{max}}} \sin^2 \vartheta d\vartheta d\beta
\]

\[
= \int_{0}^{\beta_{\text{max}}} \vert -\cos \vartheta \vert d\vartheta d\beta
\]

\[
= \beta_{\text{max}} - \int_{0}^{\beta_{\text{max}}} \cos \vartheta d\beta
\]

(6)

where \( \beta_{\text{max}} = \angle OPD \), and \( \beta_{\text{max}} = \pi \) for \( r_0 < r_m \) and \( \pi / 2 \) for \( r_0 = r_m \) as can be seen by inspection of Fig. 1. The integral in (6) can be evaluated by writing \( \cos \vartheta \) and \( d\beta \) as a function of \( \varphi_s \). To begin, we write \( \cos \vartheta \) as follows:

\[
\cos \vartheta = \frac{L}{PD} = \frac{L}{\sqrt{L^2 + \rho_s^2}}
\]

(7)

where

\[
\rho_s^2 = r_0^2 + r_m^2 - 2r_0 r_m \cos \varphi_s.
\]

(7a)

In the figure \( \rho_s \) and \( \varphi_s \) are equal to \( OD \) and \( \angle OAD \), respectively. The differential angle \( d\beta \) can be written as a function of \( \varphi_s \) if we note that

\[
\tan \beta = \frac{r_m \sin \varphi_s}{r_0 - r_m \cos \varphi_s}
\]

Taking the derivative of \( \tan \beta \), we obtain

\[
d\beta = -\frac{\cos^2 \beta}{(r_0 - r_m \cos \varphi_s)^2} (r_0 \cos \varphi_s - r_m^2) d\varphi_s.
\]

(8)

Since \( \rho_s \cos \beta = r_0 - r_m \cos \varphi_s \), (8) becomes

\[
d\beta = -\frac{1}{\rho_s^2} \left[ \frac{r_0^2 + r_m^2 - r_s^2}{2} \right] d\varphi_s
\]

\[
= \frac{r_0^2 - r_m^2}{2 \rho_s^2} d\varphi_s
\]

(9)

Inserting (7) and (9) into (6) we have

\[
\frac{\Omega}{2} = \beta_{\text{max}} - L \int_{0}^{\beta_{\text{max}}} \frac{d\beta}{(L^2 + \rho_s^2)^{3/2}}
\]

\[
= \beta_{\text{max}} - \left[ \frac{L}{2 \rho_s^2} \left( \frac{r_0^2 + r_m^2}{(L^2 + \rho_s^2)^{3/2}} \right) \right]
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{d\varphi_s}{(L^2 + \rho_s^2)^{1/2}}.
\]

(10)

In (10) the \( \varphi_s \) integration is taken in the clockwise direction, i.e., from \( \pi \) down towards zero. To put the integrals in standard form we introduce a new variable \( \gamma \), where \( \gamma = (\pi/2) - (\varphi_s/2) \). It will be convenient at this point to write the terms \( \rho_s^2 \) and \( L^2 + \rho_s^2 \) in a somewhat different form. Since

\[
\cos \varphi_s = -\cos 2\gamma
\]

\[
= 2 \sin^2 \gamma - 1
\]

we have from Eq. (7a),

\[
\rho_s^2 = r_0^2 + r_m^2 - 2r_0 r_m (2 \sin^2 \gamma - 1)
\]

\[
= (r_0 + r_m)^2 - 4r_0 r_m \sin^2 \gamma
\]

\[
= (r_0 + r_m)^2 (1 - \alpha^2 \sin^2 \gamma)
\]

(11)

and

\[
L^2 + \rho_s^2 = L^2 + (r_0 + r_m)^2 - 4r_0 r_m \sin^2 \gamma
\]

\[
= (L^2 + (r_0 + r_m)^2) (1 - k^2 \sin^2 \gamma)
\]

\[
= R_{\text{max}}^2 (1 - k^2 \sin^2 \gamma).
\]

(12)

The constants \( \alpha^2 \), \( k^2 \), \( R_{\text{max}}^2 \) equal the following:

\[
\alpha^2 = \frac{4r_0 r_m}{(r_0 + r_m)^2}
\]

\[
k^2 = \frac{4r_0 r_m}{L^2 + (r_0 + r_m)^2} = 1 - \frac{R_1^2}{R_{\text{max}}^2}
\]

\[
R_{\text{max}}^2 = L^2 + (r_0 + r_m)^2
\]

\[
R_1^2 = L^2 + (r_0 - r_m)^2.
\]
Inserting (11) and (12) into (10), and making use of the fact that \( d\phi_* = -2d\gamma \), there is obtained
\[
\Omega/2 = \beta_{\text{max}} \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{d\gamma}{(1-k^2 \sin^2 \gamma)^{1/4}} + \frac{L}{R_{\text{max}}} \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{d\gamma}{(1-k^2 \sin^2 \gamma)^{3/4}}.
\]
\[ (13) \]

The integrals are Legendre's form of the complete elliptic integrals of the first and third kind, designated by \( K(k) \) and \( \Pi(\alpha^2, k) \), respectively. Therefore, (13) becomes
\[
\Omega/2 = \beta_{\text{max}} \frac{L}{R_{\text{max}}} K(k) + \frac{L}{R_{\text{max}}} \frac{r_0 - r_m}{r_0 + r_m} \Pi(\alpha^2, k)
\]
or
\[
\Omega = 2\beta_{\text{max}} - \frac{2L}{R_{\text{max}}} K(k) + \frac{2L}{R_{\text{max}}} \frac{r_0 - r_m}{r_0 + r_m} \Pi(\alpha^2, k).
\]
\[ (14) \]
The solid angle can be written down directly from (14) for point \( P \) at \( r_0 = r_m \). We have
\[
\Omega = 2\beta_{\text{max}} - \frac{2L}{R_{\text{max}}} K(k)
\]
\[ = \pi - \frac{2L}{R_{\text{max}}} K(k). \quad (15) \]

Equation (14) can be simplified by writing \( \Pi(\alpha^2, K) \) in terms of Heuman's lambda function, \( \Lambda_0 \). From page 228 of Byrd and Friedman,\(^4\) we find that \( \Pi(\alpha^2, k) \) can be written as follows:
\[
\Pi(\alpha^2, k) = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\alpha \Lambda_0(\xi, k)}{\left[ (\alpha^2 - k^2)(1 - \alpha^2) \right]^{1/2}}
\]
\[ (16) \]
where
\[
\Lambda_0(\xi, k) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[ E(k)F(\xi, k') + K(k)E(\xi, k') - K(k)F(\xi, k') \right]
\]
\[ (17) \]
\[ \xi = \arcsin \left( \frac{1-k'^2}{1-k^2} \right) \]
\[ k'^2 = (1-k^2)^{1/4} \]
\[ k^2 \leq \alpha^2 < 1. \]

Substituting the expressions for \( \alpha^2 \) and \( k^2 \) into (16) and (17) we have
\[
\Pi(\alpha^2, k) = \pm \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{R_{\text{max}} r_0 + r_m}{L} \Lambda_0(\xi, k)
\]
\[ (18) \]
In applying (18), since \( |r_0 - r_m| \) must be used, a (+) sign is used for \( r_0 > r_m \) and a (−) sign for \( r_0 < r_m \). Inserting (18) into (14), and remembering that \( \beta_{\text{max}} = \pi \), we obtain, for \( r_0 < r_m \),
\[
\Omega = 2\pi - \frac{2L}{R_{\text{max}}} K(k) - \pi \Lambda_0(\xi, k)
\]
\[ (19) \]
which is the desired expression. For the special case \( r_0 = 0 \), \( k = 0 \), and \( \Lambda_0(\xi, 0) = (L/R_0) \), \( K(0) = (\pi/2) \). Therefore, from (19), the solid angle is
\[
\Omega = 2\pi - \frac{\pi L}{R_{\text{max}}}
\]
\[ = 2\pi \left( \frac{1 - \frac{L}{R_{\text{max}}} K(k)}{R_{\text{max}}} \right) \]
which is the familiar expression for a point over the center of a circle.

Case II: \( r_0 > r_m \)

Rewriting Eq. (5) for convenience we have
\[
\Omega/2 = \int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{\phi_*} \sin \theta d\theta d\phi.
\]
By inspection of Fig. 2, it will become evident that the limits of \( \theta \) and \( \phi \) are \( \theta_m = \angle OPE \), \( \phi_* = \angle OPD \), and \( 0 \leq \theta \leq \arcsin \frac{r_m}{r_0} \). Therefore, (5) becomes
\[
\Omega/2 = \int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{\phi_*} \sin \theta d\theta d\phi
\]
\[ \quad = \int_0^{\phi_*} \int_0^{\theta_m} -\cos \theta \sin \phi \sin \phi \]d\theta d\phi
\[ = \int_0^{\phi_*} \cos \theta_m d\theta - \int_0^{\phi_*} \cos \phi d\theta. \quad (20) \]

Analogous to Case I, \( \cos \theta_m \) can be written as follows
\[
\cos \theta_m = \frac{L}{PE} \left( \frac{L}{L^2 + \rho_m^2} \right)^{1/2}
\]
where \( \rho_m = OE \). The term \( \cos \phi \) is again given by Eq. (7), and as previously \( \rho_* = OD \). Inserting (21) and (7) into (20) there is obtained
\[
\Omega/2 = \int_0^{\phi_*} \int_0^{\theta_m} \frac{d\phi}{(L^2 + \rho_m^2)^{1/2}} \int_0^{\phi_*} \frac{d\phi}{(L^2 + \rho_*^2)^{1/2}}
\]
\[ (22) \]
SOLID ANGLE CALCULATION

TABLE I. Values of the solid angle for various values of \( \text{ro}/\text{rm} \) and \( \text{L}/\text{rm} \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \text{L}/\text{rm} )</th>
<th>( \text{ro}/\text{rm} )</th>
<th>( \text{d} )</th>
<th>( \text{L}/\text{rm} )</th>
<th>( \text{ro}/\text{rm} )</th>
<th>( \text{d} )</th>
<th>( \text{L}/\text{rm} )</th>
<th>( \text{ro}/\text{rm} )</th>
<th>( \text{d} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.4732594</td>
<td>1.8403024</td>
<td>1.8070933</td>
<td>1.055291</td>
<td>1.040517</td>
<td>1.04052</td>
<td>0.663335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3.4184435</td>
<td>1.7089468</td>
<td>1.70895</td>
<td>0.997504</td>
<td>0.997549</td>
<td>0.637058</td>
<td>0.637049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.9185178</td>
<td>1.3488367</td>
<td>1.34883</td>
<td>0.844157</td>
<td>0.844152</td>
<td>0.5659755</td>
<td>0.565969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.1661307</td>
<td>0.9003572</td>
<td>0.90036</td>
<td>0.747229</td>
<td>0.747229</td>
<td>0.519339</td>
<td>0.519335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7428889</td>
<td>0.543705</td>
<td>0.543705</td>
<td>0.6472056</td>
<td>0.647217</td>
<td>0.4696585</td>
<td>0.469697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.4841247</td>
<td>0.325801</td>
<td>0.325801</td>
<td>0.5509617</td>
<td>0.550965</td>
<td>0.4191714</td>
<td>0.419175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.3280707</td>
<td>0.232419</td>
<td>0.232420</td>
<td>0.4632819</td>
<td>0.463285</td>
<td>0.3702014</td>
<td>0.370204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.232419</td>
<td>0.1495415</td>
<td>0.149543</td>
<td>0.3866757</td>
<td>0.386678</td>
<td>0.324908</td>
<td>0.324932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.1495415</td>
<td>0.116613</td>
<td>0.116613</td>
<td>0.3257993</td>
<td>0.325801</td>
<td>0.232420</td>
<td>0.232420</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\* See reference 6.

Referring to Fig. 2, it is evident that \( \rho_m^2 \) bears the same relationship to \( \varphi_2 \) as \( \rho_s^2 \), and is therefore equal to (7a), the difference being that the limits of \( \varphi_2 \) are different for each. In this case \( \varphi_2 \) is double valued having the values \( \angle OAD \) and \( \angle OAE \), respectively, as \( \beta \) goes from zero to \( \arcsin \text{rm}/\text{ro} \). Since \( \rho_m^2, \rho_s^2 \), and \( \delta \) are functions of \( \varphi_2 \) only (for fixed \( \text{L}, \text{ro}, \text{rm} \)), they can be written as follows

\[
\varphi_2 = \int_0^{\varphi_f} f(\varphi_2) d\varphi_2 - \int_0^{\varphi_T} f(\varphi_2) d\varphi_2
\]  

where \( \varphi_T \) is the value of \( \varphi_2 \) at the tangent point and is equal to \( \arccos \text{rm}/\text{ro} \). In (23) \( f(\varphi_2) d\varphi_2 \) has been set equal to the following:

\[
f(\varphi_2) d\varphi_2 = \frac{L d\beta}{(L^2 + \rho_m^2)^{1/2}} \frac{L d\beta}{(L^2 + \rho_s^2)^{1/2}}
\]  

Equation (23) as it stands does not lend itself readily to solution. A more tractable equation can be obtained as follows. Noting that

\[
\int_0^{\varphi_T} f(\varphi_2) d\varphi_2 = -\int_0^0 f(\varphi_2) d\varphi_2
\]  

and

\[
\int_0^{\varphi_T} f(\varphi_2) d\varphi_2 + \int_0^{\varphi_T} f(\varphi_2) d\varphi_2 = \int_0^{\varphi_T} f(\varphi_2) d\varphi_2
\]  

(23) becomes

\[
\Omega/2 = \int_0^{\varphi_T} f(\varphi_2) d\varphi_2 - \int_0^{\varphi_T} f(\varphi_2) d\varphi_2
\]  

\[
= -\int_0^{\varphi_T} f(\varphi_2) d\varphi_2
\]  

Using (24), (9), and (7a), Eq. (25) takes the following form:

\[
\Omega/2 = -\frac{L}{2} \int_0^{\varphi_T} d\varphi_2 \frac{L}{(L^2 + \rho_m^2)} + \frac{L}{(L^2 + \rho_s^2)} - \int_0^{\varphi_T} f(\varphi_2) d\varphi_2
\]  

\[
= \frac{L}{2} \int_0^{\varphi_T} d\varphi_2 \frac{L}{(L^2 + \rho_s^2)}
\]  

The integrals in (26) are the same as those in (10), and have therefore been evaluated. Comparing (10) and (14), (26) becomes

\[
\Omega/2 = \frac{L}{R_{max}} - K(k) + \frac{L}{R_{max}} \Pi(\alpha^2, k)
\]  

or

\[
\Omega = -2L \frac{K(k)}{R_{max}} - 2L \frac{\Pi(\alpha^2, k)}{R_{max}}
\]  

Writing \( \Pi(\alpha^2, k) \) in terms of Heuman's lambda function, we have

\[
\Omega = -2L \frac{L}{R_{max}} K(k) + \Pi_0(\xi, k).
\]  

IV. SUMMARY OF RESULTS

To summarize, the following equations have been derived.

\[
\Omega = 2\pi - \frac{2L}{R_{max}} K(k) + \Pi_0(\xi, k)
\]  

\[
= \pi - \frac{2L}{R_{max}} K(k)
\]  

\[
= -\frac{2L}{R_{max}} K(k) + \Pi_0(\xi, k)
\]  

Using the foregoing equations, Table I was prepared in which the solid angle is given for several values of \( \text{ro}/\text{rm} \) and \( \text{L}/\text{rm} \). Values of the solid angle taken from Masket et al. are included for comparison.

In determining the solid angle subtended at an arbitrary point, one must determine the functions $K(k)$ and $\Lambda_0(\xi, k)$. Usually this involves computing arc $\xi$, and for $\Lambda_0$, the additional parameter $\xi$. The tables in reference 4 give values of $K(k)$ and $\Lambda_0(\xi, k)$ for a one degree difference in arc $\xi$ and $\xi$ between 0 and $\pi/2$. For fractions of a degree, interpolation to obtain $\Lambda_0(\xi, k)$ is more involved than for $K(k)$ since there are two parameters to consider; however, the calculation is straightforward.

A short table of $\Lambda_0(\xi, k)$ is also given in reference 5.

**INTRODUCTION**

The basic principle of operation of the vacuum calibration unit is to measure the time required to pressurize a container of known volume from a near vacuum to 1 atmosphere with a constant flow of gas from a flowmeter (see appendix). The volume flow per unit time ($v$) may then be computed by simply dividing the volume of the reservoir ($V$) by the time required to raise the pressure in the containers to one atmosphere ($t$), or

$$v = \frac{V}{t}$$

The volume flow may then be corrected to standard temperature and pressure conditions ($v_0$) by the equation of state relationship. Hence,

$$v_0 = \frac{V}{t} \frac{P_0 T_0}{P T}$$

where $P_0$ = standard pressure (760 mm Hg), $P$ = pressure rise (mm Hg), $T_0$ = standard temperature (273°K), $T$ = gas temperature (°K). It is understood that this approach yields reliable results only when the perfect gas assumption is justified. Otherwise PVT corrections must be applied.

The method which has been described for obtaining the solid angle appears to have some advantages over the series method mentioned in the introduction since with the availability of the tables, one essentially has to find the desired $K(k)$ and $\Lambda_0(\xi, k)$ which usually is not difficult within the limits of engineering accuracy. Also, in the series expansion, the series will converge more or less rapidly depending on the values of $a^2$ and $k^2$; therefore, the calculation might become tedious.

**APPARATUS**

Figure 1 is a flow diagram of the vacuum calibration unit apparatus. It consists basically of 4 reservoirs of known volumes, a back-pressure regulator, a U-tube mercury manometer, a series of plug valves to control the direction of the gas flow and 4 solenoid actuated valves. Gas from the flowmeter being calibrated is introduced into the vacuum calibrator through a 3-way solenoid valve (valve $A$) only when the valve is actuated. Otherwise, the constant flow of gas is exhausted to the atmosphere. Valve $A$ is a safety valve which is actuated by a pressure switch to prevent accidental over-pressurization of the calibration unit reservoirs. The back-pressure regulator is located immediately upstream from the vacuum calibration unit solenoid valve $D$. It maintains a constant pressure of approximately 10 psig on the downstream side of the throttle valve and prevents flow variations due to varying pressure drop across the throttle valve. The electrical circuitry of the solenoid valves $B$, $C$, and $D$, is such that when one is energized, it is not possible to actuate either of the other two until the first has been de-energized.

The calibration of gas flowmeters by the vacuum calibration method has the advantage over the water displacement method that no water vapor corrections are needed and that no errors are introduced due to absorption of the calibrated gas by the water.