Autonomy vs. Social Order

Social implications of the identity testing casesSecond practical case study

Case discussion, identity testing

- I'm not the father
 During divorce, father learns he is not biological parent of children
 Should he have to pay child support?
- ► I want to know if I am the father
- ☑ Man agrees to help married woman get pregnant
 ☑ Several years later, want to know if he is biological father
- Should testing be ordered
- ➡Basis for decision making?

Basic steps in moral reasoning

- ➡ 1. Clarify the issue or problem
- ➡ 2. Identify the stakeholders
- ➡ 3. Collect the facts
- ➡ 4. Sort out the moral arguments
- ➡ 5. Draw conclusions
- ➡ 6. Test your conclusions

Stakeholders and interests?

Party	Case 1	Case 2
Mother	support for children	➡ preserve family
Biological father	represerve privacy	relations with child
De facto father	reavoid payments	➡ preserve family
Siblings	➡keep family together	➡ preserve family
Society	r child welfare	← child welfare

Basic tensions

- ➡ Several tensions involved:
 ☑ Between biological parents
 ☑ Biological vs. de facto parents
 ☑ Parents vs. children
 ☑ Individuals vs. society
- Two primary stakeholders
 Individuals ~ what each person feels is just or right
 Society ~ what is just or right in the larger context of humans living with one another
- ➡What would society want in these cases?

<u>Social Order</u>

- ➡ How should society be organized?
 ☑ Tyranny/Dictatorship ~ rule of the most powerful
 ☑ Oligarchy ~ rule of the an elite few
 ☑ Plutocracy ~ rule by the wealthiest
 ☑ Democracy ~ rule by all
- ➡ What should be the goal of social organization
 ☑ Welfare of the state or commune (Communism)
 ☑ Social welfare of all (Socialism)
 ☑ Capital development, free markets (Capitalism)
 ☑ Further religion (Theocracy)

Case #1, Implicit Social Assumptions

- ➡ Pay child support even if not biological father
 ☑ Welfare of child takes precedence
 ☑ Family is not solely defined by biology
- ► Not required to pay child support
 ☑ Individuals are responsible for their own actions
 ☑ Responsible only for biological children
 ☑ Extra-marital relationship should not be condoned

Case #2, Implicit Social Assumptions

- ➡ Child should be tested
 ☑ Biological parent is important
 ☑ Emphasis on biological basis of family
- Child should not be tested
 Importance of the social family
 Right to privacy

Social assumptions change over time

- ► At one time society believed:
 - Children borne out of wedlock have no rights (bastards)
 Women who conceived outside of marriage should be punished (stoned to death)
 - $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ Should not tell adopted children who their real parent(s) is/are
 - Men could have extra marital affairs, women could not
- ➡What is the foundation of moral decision making?
 ☑Moral principles and moral theory
 - Social principles and social theory

Access to digital information?

- ➡Digital privacy ☑Cable TV only in criminal cases
- ☑ Music records, get a clerks signature
- **☞**Clash
 - ☑ Individual are protecting privacy/autonomy ☑ Society is protecting its welfare
- ➡ What are the social assumptions?
- ➡ Does this apply to genetic information?

Practical Case Study

Grows out of teaching and outside service

➡Academic

- ☑ History of science/science policy☑ History of universities/UM
- ☑ Research integrity
- Service
 - Member, research committees, UM
 - Part-time, Office of Research Integrity
 - Develop research programs
 - Develop educational resources

Introduction to RCR

- ► Rules of research complex
- Most researchers not formally introduced to RCR
- Intro, overview of basics of responsible research











Dealing with other humans

How should we treat one another

☑Life in general, wide range of rules/laws

- · should not arbitrarily for no justifiable reason take another life
- · Do not permit violence, bodily harm, etc.
- Cannot take someone else's property
- $\label{eq:medicine} \ensuremath{\boxtimes} Medicine \sim how should physicians treat patients?$
 - Guidelines set out in codes of ethics
 - Best known in medicine is <u>Hippocratic Oath</u>

<u>World War II</u>

- New guidelines were written after WW II to deal with war-related crimes
- Nazis had conducted medical experiments on Jewish prisoners
- ➡ Widely agreed that human experimentation had to be regulated
- Conference in Nuremberg Germany produce the <u>Nuremberg Code</u>
- Expanded/modified in Belmont Declaration of Helsinki, 1964

Post WW II problems

- ► Early 1970s, focus of activity shift to government
- Public attention raised by a number of reported abuses of human subjects
 Tuskegee experiment - syphilis study begun in 1930s Willowbrook experiment Radiation testing

Government response

- ➡ Congressional response, beginning mid 1970s, leads to two key sets of guidelines
 ☑ Belmont Report (1979)
- ☑ Common Rules (45 CFR 46) (1981)
- ➡<u>Belmont Report (</u>1979)
- ►<u>Common Rule (</u>1990)

Case presentation

- ➡College course on genetics
- ➡Instructors decide to use experiment available on line to teach basic techniques
 ☑Isolate mtDNA
 - ⊠Amplify
 - \blacksquare Send for sequencing
 - Analyze results
- ➡ 3rd time around, decide to do sequencing locally ☑ Sequencing lab says need IRB approval
- ➡ What should the instructors do?